Raisin Bread

Intelligent agents are ninety-nine percent computer science and one percent AI (Etzioni 1996).

에이전트 정의

An agent is a computer system, situated in some environment, that is capable of flexible autonomous action in order to meet its design objectives (Wooldridge and Jennings 1995).

- situatedness: the agent receives sensory input from its environment and can perform actions which change the environment in some way
- autonomy: the system is able to act without the direct intervention of humans and has control over its own actions and internal state.
- flexible: responsive, pro-active, social
**Multi-Agent Systems** (Bond and Gasser 1988)

Distributed Problem Solving (DPS) considers how a particular problem can be solved by a number of modules, which cooperate in dividing and sharing knowledge about the problem and its evolving solutions.

Multi-Agent Systems (MAS) is concerned with the behavior of a collection of possibly pre-existing autonomous agents aiming at solving a given problem.

- each agent has incomplete information, or capabilities for solving the problem, thus each agent has a limited viewpoint
- there is no global system control
- data is decentralized
- computation is asynchronous
Pitfalls of Agent-Oriented Development (Wooldridge and Jennings 1998)

- You oversell agents
- You don’t know why you want agents
- You don’t know what your agents are good for
- You believe that agents are a silver bullet
- You forget you are developing (distributed) software
- You decide you want your own agent architecture
- Your agents use too much AI
- Your agents have no intelligence
- You have too many (or too few) agents
- The tabula rasa
- You ignore de facto standards

Agent theory

- A specification for an agent.
- The construction of formalisms for reasoning about agents, and the properties of agents expressed in such formalisms.

Agent architectures

- Software engineering models of agents
- The construction of computer systems that satisfy the properties specified by agent theorists.

Language

- A system that allows one to program hardware or software computer systems in terms of some of the concepts developed by agent theorists.
- Software systems for programming and experimenting with agents.
ICMAS'98: Third International Conference on Multi-Agent Systems
(http://cosmos.imag.fr/MAGMA/ICMAS98/)

Agents'99: Third International Conference on Autonomous Agents
(http://www.cs.washington.edu/research/agents99/)

ATAL-99: Sixth International Workshop on Agent Theories, Architectures, and Languages
(http://www.elec.qmw.ac.uk/dai/atal/)

PRIMA'99: Second Pacific Rim International Workshop on Multi-Agents
(http://www.lab7.kuis.kyoto-u.ac.jp/prima99/)

CIA-99: Third International Workshop Cooperative Information Agents
(http://www.informatik.tu-chemnitz.de/klusch/cia99.html)

- Industrial Applications
  - Manufacturing
  - Process Control
  - Telecommunications
  - Air Traffic Control
  - Transportation Systems
- Medical Applications
  - Patient Monitoring
  - Health Care

- Commercial Applications
  - Information Management
  - Electronic Commerce
  - Business Process Management
- Entertainment Applications
  - Games
  - Interactive Theater and Cinema
• Real-time execution
• Interruptible execution
• Multiple foci of attention
• Hierarchical plan refinement and revision (mix and match strategies)
• Purposeful behavior (minimizing high-level plan revision)
• Adherence to predefined strategies

• Task migration
• Goal-driven and data-driven behavior
• Checkpointing and Mobility
• Explicit strategy articulation
• Situation summary and report
• Restraining reactivity

PRS System Structure (Ingrand, Georgeff, and Rao 1992)

- World Model (Database)
- Goals
- Knowledge Areas (Procedures)
- Intention Structure
- Interpreter
Features of PRS for Reactive Systems

- The semantics of its plan (procedure) representation, which is important for verification and maintenance.
- Its ability to expand and act on partial plans.
- Its ability to pursue goal-directed tasks while being responsive to changing patterns of events in bounded time.
- Its facilities for managing multiple tasks in real time.
- Its default mechanisms for handling the environment's stringent real-time demands.
- Its metalevel (or reflexive) reasoning capabilities.

UM-PRS
University of Michigan Procedural Reasoning System
(Lee, Huber, Durfee, and Kenny 1994)

- a C++ implementation of PRS.
- general reactive agent architecture.
- applied to both physical robots and software agents
- tested over years of applications
UM-PRS Example

- World Model: a database of facts
- Goals: top-level goals, subgoals
- Knowledge Areas (KA)
  - Name
  - Purpose: goal
  - Context: condition
  - Body: procedure
  - Priority
  - Failure section
- Actions: achieve, execute, query, test, assert, retract, ...
- Intention Structures: runtime state of progress
- Interpreter

UM-PRS Behaviors

- Incremental elaboration to identify appropriate actions.
- Recovery from a failed context.
- Suspension of one goal and pursuit of another.
- Interruption by new goals.
- Refocus due to a change of context.
UM-PRS Applications

- Physical Agents
  - indoor and outdoor mobile robots (UGV)

- Software Agents
  - University of Michigan Digital Library (UMDL)
  - Ship Systems Automation (SSA) — TAIPE project
  - Intelligent, Coordinated Situation Assessment
  - Multi-Agent Defensive Information Warfare
  - Rescue Operations Planning

TAIPE
Tactical Assistant for Interaction Planning and Execution
(Durfee, Huber, Kurnow, and Lee 1997)

- many specialized agents needed to be able to collectively form, reason about, and execute plans.
- plans needed to be generated, communicated, elaborated, visualized, analyzed, executed, revised, and so on.

Planning Content Language to support the development and execution of plans.
JAM Agent Architecture (Huber 1999)

- Additional functionalities: observer, checkpointing, mobility

Agent Goals

ACHIEVE: An achieve action causes the agent to establish a goal achievement subgoal for the currently executing plan.

PERFORM: The agent checks to see whether the subgoal has already been accomplished. Only if the goal has not been accomplished, the plan does subgoal.
   If the agent detects (opportunistic) accomplishment of the goal (perhaps by another agent), it will consider the subgoal action successful and discontinue execution of the plan established to achieve the subgoal.

MAINTAIN: A maintain goal indicates that the specified goal must be reattained if it ever becomes unsatisfied.

QUERY: A query action is functionally identical to an achieve action. It is provided to allow the programmer to be more explicit about the semantics of the action's goal.

WAIT: The wait action causes plan execution to pause until the specified goal is achieved or the specified action returns successfully.
Plans

Plan Precondition: specifies the initial conditions that must be met before the plan should be considered for execution.

Plan Context: specifies one or more expressions that describe the conditions under which the plan will be useful throughout the duration of plan execution.

Plan Goal: specifies goal-driven behavior. This field's contents specify the goal or activity that successful execution of the plan's procedural body will accomplish.

Plan Conclude: specifies data-driven behavior. This specifies a World Model relation that should be monitored for change.

Plan Body: describes the sequence of actions, a procedure, to be taken in order to accomplish a goal.

Plan Effects: specifies an atomic procedure that will be executed when the plan completes successfully.

Plan Failure: specifies an atomic procedure to be executed when the plan fails. If the plan fails, for example because the context fails, the agent interpreter will execute the actions found in the failure section before switching to other plans or goals.

Observer

- The observer is a lightweight plan that the agent executes between plan steps in order to perform functionality outside of the scope of its normal goal/plan-based reasoning.

- The Observer's behavior is specified in a syntax identical to that of a plan body in one of the files parsed during initialization.

- This procedure may contain any plan action or construct that a normal plan body can contain except for subgoaling.
Checkpointing and Mobility

functionality for capturing the runtime state of a Jam agent in the middle of execution and subsequently restoring that captured state to its execution state.

- to periodically save the agent’s state so that it can be restored in case the agent fails unexpectedly.
- to implement agent mobility, where the agent migrates from one computer platform to another.
- to clone an agent by creating a checkpoint and restoring it execution state without terminating the original agent.

UM-PRS

UM-PRS

- satisfies most of the features requiring real-time interruptible execution, multiple foci of attention.
- naturally supports hierarchical plan refinement and revision, purposeful behavior, adherence to predefined strategies.
- demonstrated effective task migration capability.
JAM

- inherits all of the above capabilities and supports additional explicit data-driven behavior, checkpointing and mobility.
- the explicit strategy articulation capability is strengthened by incorporating the SCS execution semantics into Jam and is also supported partly by JAM's refined goal actions and plan conditions.

Other capabilities, situation summary/report and restrained reactivity are especially required for coordinated agent plan execution and are being studied in the context of explicit specification of execution semantics in the agent plan.

JAM의 발전방향

Mobile Agent Architecture, JAM/Aglet

- Aglet’s Mobility  (Lange and Mitsuru Oshima 1997)
- JAM’s Checkpointing and serialization

Applications of JAM/Aglet

- Believable agent
- Multimedia information gathering/filtering


