

## SEMI-DEVELOPABLE SPACES AND SEMI-STRATIFIABLE SPACES

By Moo Ha Woo

A developable space is a well known topological space. Recently Charles C. Alexander [1] introduced a semi-developable space. Ceder [6] introduced  $M_3$ -spaces and Borges [5] renamed them "stratifiable", while Geoffrey D. Creede [7] studied semi-stratifiable spaces.

In this paper, we shall give a relation between semi-developable spaces and semi-stratifiable spaces without an aid of any separation axioms and some properties of semi-developable spaces.

The notation and terminology used in this paper follow those of J. L. Kelley [9] mainly.  $N$  is the set of positive integers.

DEFINITION 1. [1] A *development* for a space  $X$  is a sequence  $\{g_n | n \in N\}$  of open covers of  $X$  such that  $\{St(x, g_n) | n \in N\}$  is a local base at  $x$ , for each  $x \in X$ .

DEFINITION 2. [1] A *semi-development* for a space  $X$  is a sequence  $\{g_n | n \in N\}$  of (not necessarily open) covers of  $X$  such that  $\{St(x, g_n) | n \in N\}$  is a neighborhood base at  $x$ , for each  $x \in X$ .

A space is (semi-) developable if and only if there exists a (semi-) development for the space.

DEFINITION 3. [7] A topological space  $X$  is *semi-stratifiable* if, to each open set  $U \subset X$ , one can assign a sequence  $\{U_n | n \in N\}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  such that

$$(a) \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = U$$

$$(b) U_n \subset V_n \text{ whenever } U \subset V.$$

A correspondence  $U \rightarrow \{U_n | n \in N\}$  is a *semi-stratification* for the space  $X$  whenever it satisfies the conditions of Definition 3.

Alexander [1] showed that a space is semi-metrizable if and only if it is a semi-developable  $T_0$ -space. Creede [7] showed that a  $T_1$ -space is a semi-metric space if and only if it is a first countable semi-stratifiable space.

THEOREM 1. *Every semi-developable space is semi-stratifiable.*

PROOF. Let  $X$  be a semi-developable space and  $\Delta = \{g_n | n \in N\}$  be a semi-development for  $X$ . For each  $n$  and each open set  $U \subset X$ , we take  $U_n = \overline{St(U', g_n)'}'$ . Then the correspondence  $U \rightarrow \{U_n | n \in N\}$  is a semi-stratification for  $X$ .

For, (a)  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ : Let  $y \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ , there is an integer  $m$  such that  $y \in U_m$  (i. e.,  $y \in \overline{St(U', g_m)'}'$ ). Suppose that  $y \notin U$ , then we have  $St(y, g_m) \subset St(U', g_m)'$ . Therefore, we obtain  $St(y, g_m) \cap \overline{St(U', g_m)'}' = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $y \notin \overline{St(U', g_m)'}'$ . This is contradict to  $y \in \overline{St(U', g_m)'}'$ .

For each  $y \in U$ , there is an integer  $m$  such that  $St(y, g_m) \subset U$ . Therefore we have  $St(y, g_m) \cap U' = \emptyset$ . For such  $m$ , we obtain  $y \notin St(U', g_m)$ . Thus, we have  $y \in \overline{St(U', g_m)'}'$ . (i. e.,  $y \in U_m$ ).

(b) If  $U, V$  be open sets in  $X$  such that  $U \subset V$ , then we have  $St(U', g_n) \supset St(V', g_n)$  for each  $n$ . Hence we obtain  $\overline{St(U', g_n)'}' \subset \overline{St(V', g_n)'}'$ .

REMARK. The converse of Theorem 1 is not true since a semi-developable space is first countable but a semi-stratifiable space is not.

A topological space is  $F_\sigma$ -screenable if every open cover has a  $\sigma$ -discrete closed refinement which covers the space [7].

In [2], Bing showed that a developable space is  $F_\sigma$ -screenable. With the aid of Theorem 2.6 of [7] and Theorem 1, we have the following.

COROLLARY 2. *A semi-developable space is  $F_\sigma$ -screenable.*

In [1], Alexander showed that every Lindelöf semi-developable space is separable. Applying Theorem 1, we have the following.

PROPOSITION 3. *In a semi-developable space  $X$ , we have the followings:*

- (1) *If  $X$  is normal, then  $X$  is perfectly normal.*
- (2) *If  $X$  is Lindelöf, then  $X$  is hereditarily separable.*
- (3) *If  $X$  is paracompact, then  $X$  is hereditarily paracompact.*

PROOF. (1) is trivial.

(2) A semi-developable space has hereditary property. Since a Lindelöf (paracompact) space is hereditarily Lindelöf (paracompact) if and only if each open subspace is Lindelöf (paracompact). (see [4], [8]).

Applying Theorem 1,  $X$  is semi-stratifiable. Let  $U$  be an open set in  $X$ , then  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$  where  $U_n$  is closed in  $X$ . Hence  $U$  is Lindelöf. Consequently,  $X$  is

hereditarily separable.

(3) If an open subset  $U$  in  $X$  is a  $F_\sigma$ -set, then  $U$  is paracompact (see[8]). Hence it is trivial by Theorem 1,

Using a proof analogous to one given by Burke for Theorem 3.6 of [5], the following Theorem may be proved.

**THEOREM 4.** *A semi-stratifiable space  $X$  with a locally semi-development is semi-developable.*

**PROOF.** For each  $x \in X$ , there is an open neighborhood  $U_x$  with a semi-development  $\Delta_x = \{g_n(x) | n \in N\}$ . Since  $X$  is  $F_\sigma$ -screenable, there is a  $\sigma$ -discrete closed refinement  $\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n$  of  $\{U_x | x \in X\}$ .

For each  $B \in \mathcal{L}_n$ , there exists a fixed element  $x(B) \in X$  such that  $B \subset U_{x(B)}$ .

Let  $U_n(B) = X - \bigcup \{B^* | B^* \in \mathcal{L}_n, B^* \neq B\}$ ,

$\mathcal{U}_{n,m}(B) = \{U_n(B) \cap G | G \in g_m[x(B)]\}$  and

$\mathcal{U}_{n,m} = \{U | U \in \mathcal{U}_{n,m}(B), B \in \mathcal{L}_n\} \cup \{Q_n\}$  where  $Q_n = X - \bigcup \{B | B \in \mathcal{L}_n\}$ .

Then  $\Delta = \{\mathcal{U}_{n,m} | n, m \in N\}$  is a semi-development for  $X$ .

For, it is trivial that  $\mathcal{U}_{n,m}$  is a cover of  $X$  for each  $n, m \in N$ . Let  $z \in X$ , there is an integer  $n \in N$  with some  $B \in \mathcal{L}_n$  such that  $z \in B$ . If  $O$  be an open neighborhood of  $z$ , there is an integer  $m$  such that  $z \in \text{Int St } [z, g_m(x(B))] \subset \text{St } [s, g_m(x(B))] \subset O \cap U_{x(B)}$ .

Since  $\text{Int St } [z, \mathcal{U}_{n,m}] = \text{Int St } [z, \mathcal{U}_{n,m}(B)]$   
 $= \text{Int } [U_n(B) \cap \text{St } [z, g_m(x(B))]]$   
 $= U_n(B) \cap \text{Int St } [z, g_m(x(B))] \ni z,$

hence we have  $(n, m)$  such that  $z \in \text{Int St } [z, \mathcal{U}_{n,m}] \subset \text{St } [z, \mathcal{U}_{n,m}] = \text{St } [z, \mathcal{U}_{n,m}(B)] \subset \text{St } [z, g_m(x(B))] \subset O$ .

For each  $k, l \in N$ , we have  $z \in \text{Int St } (z, \mathcal{U}_{k,l})$ . For, if there is  $B$  such that  $z \in B \in \mathcal{L}_k$ , we obtain  $z \in \text{Int St } (z, \mathcal{U}_{k,l})$  by the above way. If there is not  $B$  such that  $z \in B \in \mathcal{L}_k$ , then  $z \in Q_k$ . Since  $Q_k$  is an open set, we have  $z \in \text{Int St } (z, \mathcal{U}_{k,l})$ . Hence  $\{\text{St } (z, \mathcal{U}_{k,l}) | k, l \in N\}$  is a neighborhood base at  $z$ , for each  $z \in X$ .

Soong Jun College

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C.C. Alexander, *Semi-developable spaces and Quotient image of metric spaces*, Pac. J. of Math. Vol. 37, No.2, (1971), 277-293.

- [2] R.H. Bing, *Metrization of topological spaces*, Can. J. Math. 3 (1951), 175-186.
- [3] C. Borges, *On stratifiable spaces*, Pac. J. Math. Vol. 17 (1966), 1-16.
- [4] N. Bourbaki, *Elements of mathematics* part 1, Addison wesley Publishing Co. 1966.
- [5] D.K. Burke, *On subparacompact spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (1969), 655-663.
- [6] J. Ceder, *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pac. J. of Math. 11 (1961) 105-126.
- [7] G.D. Creede, *Concerning semi-stratifiable spaces*, Paci. J. Math. Vol. 32, No. 1 (1970), 20-27.
- [8] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Babon, Boston, Mass., 1966.
- [9] J.L. Kelley, *General Topology*, New York, Van Nostrand, 1955.