

ESTIMATION OF SYMMETRIC OPERATORS IN BANACH SPACES

By Yu-Lee Lee & Henderson C.H. Yeung

The purpose of this note is to extend the trivial fact in the real line, R , that if $f: R \rightarrow R$ and constants $a, b > 0$ such that

$$f(y)z = o(z^2) \text{ with } a|y| < |z| < b|y|, \text{ then } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(y)|}{|y|} = 0.$$

The extension is a useful estimation in the calculus on Banach spaces as for example in the proof of the converse of Taylor's Theorem. [1] [2]

Let U be an open neighborhood of the origin in a Banach space E and let $f: U \rightarrow L_s^k(E, F)$ be a map from U into the space of bounded, symmetric k -linear maps of E into a Banach space F . $L_s^k(E, F)$ is a closed subspace of the Banach space $L^k(E, F)$ of bounded, k -linear maps of E into F , with the operator norm induced from that of E and F , i.e., if $A \in L^k(E, F)$, then

$$\|A\| = \sup\{\|A(h_1, \dots, h_k)\|_F \mid h_i \in E, |h_i| \leq 1\}.$$

We note that there is a norm-preserving isomorphism of $L^k(E, F)$ onto $L(E, L(E, L(E, F)) \dots)$, k times, under the identification which takes an element of the latter into the form given by

$$A(y_1, \dots, y_n) = ((\dots(Ay_1) \dots) y_{n-1}) y_n.$$

If A is in $L^k(E, F)$ we denote the value $A(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ by $Ay_1 \dots y_n$. Also if y is in E , the y^n means (y, \dots, y) , n times. Hence

$$Az_1^{n_1} \dots z_i^{n_i} = (\dots(Az_1^{n_1}) z_2^{n_2}) \dots z_i^{n_i} = A(z_1, \dots, z_1, \dots, z_i, \dots, z_i).$$

We adopt the following standard notation:

$$Az^k = o(z^{k+\gamma}) \text{ where } \gamma > 0, \text{ means } \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{|Az^k|}{|z|^{k+\gamma}} = 0.$$

THEOREM. *Let γ be a non-negative real number. Let E and F be Banach spaces and U an open subset of E . Let $f: U \rightarrow L_s^k(E, F)$. If there exist constants $a, b > 0$ such that $f(y)z^k = o(z^{k+\gamma})$ for $a|y| < |z| < b|y|$ for $z, y \in E$, then $f(y) = o(y^\gamma)$.*

PROOF. By induction on k . For $k=1$, we have $f(y)z = o(z^{1+\gamma})$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{|f(y)z|}{|z|^{1+\gamma}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{b^\gamma} \quad \text{for } |z| < b\delta.$$

This implies

$$\left| f(y) \frac{z}{|z|} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{b^r} |z|^r < \varepsilon |y|^r \text{ since } |z| < b|y|, \text{ for } |y| < \delta.$$

Taking supremum over $z \leq \frac{b\delta}{2}$ we obtain

$$\|f(y)\| < \varepsilon |y|^r \text{ for } |y| < \delta.$$

Thus $f(y) = o(y^r)$.

Assume that the theorem is true for $k < n$. We first note that we can choose $c, d > 0$ $a < c < d < b$, and $a|y| < |z_1 + \lambda z_2| < b|y|$ for z_1 and z_2 with $c|y| < |z_i| < d|y|$, $i=1, 2$, provided λ is small enough, as it easily follows from

$$|z_1| - |\lambda| |z_2| \leq |z_1 + \lambda z_2| \leq |z_1| + |\lambda| |z_2|.$$

This enables us to substitute freely the element in o (element) estimates.

By multilinearity,

$$\begin{aligned} f(y)(z_1 + \lambda_i z_2)^n &= f(y)z_1^n + \lambda_i C_1^n f(y)z_1^{n-1}z_2 + \dots + \\ &\quad \lambda_i^{n-1} C_{n-1}^n f(y)z_1 z_2^{n-1} + \lambda_i^n f(y)z_2^n \\ &= o(y^{n+r}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(y)z_1^n = o(y^{n+r})$ and $f(y)z_2^n = o(y^{n+r})$, we have

$$\lambda_i C_1^n f(y)z_1^{n-1}z_2 + \dots + \lambda_i^{n-1} C_{n-1}^n f(y)z_1 z_2^{n-1} = o(y^{n+1}).$$

We now choose $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for $i \neq j$, $i=1, 2, \dots, n-1$, and each λ_i sufficiently small. Thus we have a system of equations in matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_1^2 & \dots & \lambda_1^{n-1} \\ \lambda_2 & \lambda_2^2 & \dots & \lambda_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{n-1} & \lambda_{n-1}^2 & \dots & \lambda_{n-1}^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_1^n f(y)z_1^{n-1}z_2 \\ C_2^n f(y)z_1^{n-2}z_2^2 \\ \vdots \\ C_{n-1}^n f(y)z_1 z_2^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} o(y^{n+r}) \\ o(y^{n+r}) \\ \vdots \\ o(y^{n+r}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, the above matrix is invertible. Hence $f(y)z_1 z_2^{n-1} = o(y^{n+r})$.

$$\left(f(y) \frac{z_1}{|z_1|} \right) z_2^{n-1} = o(y^{n-1+r}).$$

By induction hypothesis, $f(y) \frac{z_1}{|z_1|} = o(y^r)$. Again by case $k=1$, $f(y) = o(y^r)$.

This concludes the proof.

Kansas State University

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Abraham and J. Robbin, *Transversal Mappings and Flows*, W.A. Benjamin, 1967.
- [2] E. Nelson, *Topics in Dynamics, I: Flows*, Math. Notes, Princeton University Press, 1969.