

# AN CERTAIN HYPERSURFACES WITH AN $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -STRUCTURE IN A SASAKIAN MANIFOLD

By Un Kyu Kim

## §0. Introduction

The  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structures have been studied by many authors [2, 5, 6]. It is well known that the hypersurface of an almost contact manifold admits an  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure [2, 6]. D.E. Blair, G.D. Ludden and K. Yano have studied the hypersurface of an odd-dimensional sphere in the case of  $f \circ h = h \circ f$  and  $f \circ h = -h \circ f$ , where  $h$  is the second fundamental tensor of the hypersurface [1].

On the other hand, S. Yamaguchi [4] have studied the hypersurface of a Sasakian manifold and obtained a result in the case of  $f \circ h = h \circ f$

In this present paper, we investigate the hypersurfaces of certain Sasakian manifold in the case of  $f \circ h = -h \circ f$ .

## §1. Hypersurfaces in a Sasakian manifold

Let  $\tilde{M}$  be  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods  $\{\tilde{U}, X^{\kappa}\}$ , where here and in the sequel indices  $\kappa, \mu, \nu, \lambda, \dots$  run over the range  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n+1\}$  and let  $(F_{\kappa}^{\lambda}, G_{\mu\lambda}, V_{\lambda})$  be the almost metric structure, that is,

$$(1.1) \quad F_{\kappa}^{\mu} F_{\mu}^{\lambda} = -\delta_{\kappa}^{\lambda} + V_{\kappa} V^{\lambda},$$

$$(1.2) \quad V_{\kappa} F_{\lambda}^{\kappa} = 0, \quad F_{\lambda}^{\kappa} V^{\lambda} = 0,$$

$$(1.3) \quad V^{\lambda} V_{\lambda} = 1 \text{ and}$$

$$(1.4) \quad G_{\gamma\beta} F_{\lambda}^{\kappa} F_{\mu}^{\beta} = G_{\lambda\mu} - V_{\lambda} V_{\mu}$$

If  $\tilde{M}$  is a Sasakian manifold, then

$$(1.5) \quad \nabla_{\mu} V^{\kappa} = F_{\mu}^{\kappa}, \quad \nabla_{\mu} F_{\lambda}^{\kappa} = -G_{\mu\lambda} V^{\kappa} + \delta_{\mu}^{\kappa} V_{\lambda}$$

and for the curvature tensor  $\tilde{R}_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa}$  of  $\tilde{M}$  we have

$$(1.6) \quad V^{\kappa} \tilde{R}_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} = V_{\nu} G_{\mu\lambda} - V_{\mu} G_{\nu\lambda}$$

, where we denote by  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \kappa \\ \mu\lambda \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$  and  $\nabla_{\mu}$  the christoffel symbols formed with the Riemannian metric of  $G_{\mu\lambda}$  of  $\tilde{M}$  and the operator of covariant differentiation with

respect to  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \kappa \\ \mu \lambda \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$  respectively.

Let  $M$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold which is covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods  $\{U, x^h\}$ , where here and in the sequel the indicies  $h, i, j, k, \dots$ , run over the range  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$  and differentially imbedded in  $\tilde{M}$  as a hypersurface by the equations  $X^\kappa = X^\kappa(X^h)$

We put

$$B_i^\kappa = \partial_i X^\kappa, \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},$$

and choose a unit  $C^\kappa$  of  $\tilde{M}$  normal to  $M$  in such a way that  $2n+1$  vectors  $B_i^\kappa$  and  $C^\kappa$  give the positive orientation of  $\tilde{M}$  as the following

$$(1.7) \quad F_\lambda^\kappa B_i^\lambda = f_i^h B_h^\kappa + u_i C^\kappa,$$

$$(1.8) \quad F_\lambda^\kappa C^\lambda = -u^i B_i^\kappa$$

$$(1.9) \quad V^\kappa = B_i^\kappa v^i + \lambda C^\kappa$$

where  $f_i^h$  is a tensor field of type  $(1,1)$ ,  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  are 1-forms of  $M$ ,  $\lambda$  is a function on  $M$  and  $u^i = u_j g^{ji}$ ,  $v_i = v_j g^{ji}$  for the induced Riemannian metric  $g_{ji}$  on  $M$  from that of  $\tilde{M}$ .

Then the hypersurface  $M$  admits an  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure [6], that is,

$$f_i^j f_j^h = -\delta_i^h + u_i u^h + v_i v^h$$

$$f_i^h u^i = -\lambda v^h, \quad f_i^h v^i = \lambda u^h,$$

$$f_h^i u_i = \lambda v_h, \quad f_h^i v_i = -\lambda u_h$$

$$u^i u_i = 1 - \lambda^2, \quad v^i v_i = 1 - \lambda^2$$

$$u^i v_i = 0, \quad v^i u_i = 0$$

$$g_{ij} f_h^i f_l^j = g_{hl} - u_h u_l - v_h v_l$$

We denote by  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} h \\ ij \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$  and  $\nabla_i$  the christoffel symbols formed with  $g_{ji}$  and the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} h \\ ji \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$  respectively. Then the equations of Gauss and those of Weingarten are

(1.11)  $\nabla_j B_i^\kappa = h_{ji} C^\kappa$  and  $\nabla_j C^\kappa = -h_j^i B_i^\kappa$  respectively, where  $h_{ji}$  is the second fundamental tensor and  $h_j^i = h_{jl} g^{li}$

We have from (1.5) and (1.7)~(1.11) the following

$$(1.12) \quad \nabla_j f_i^h = -h_{ji} u^h + h_j^h u_i - g_{ji} v^h + \delta_j^h v_i,$$

$$(1.13) \quad \nabla_j u_i = -h_{ji} f_i^l - \lambda g_{ji},$$

$$(1.14) \quad \nabla_j v^h = f_j^h + \lambda h_j^h,$$

$$(1.15) \quad \nabla_j \lambda = u_j - h_{ji} v^i.$$

Now we assume that the hypersurface  $M$  of the Sasakian manifold  $\tilde{M}$  satisfies  $f_i^h h_h^j = -h_i^h f_h^j$  and  $\lambda(1-\lambda^2)$  is almost everywhere non-zero.

The condition  $f_i^h h_h^j = -h_i^h f_h^j$  is equivalent to

$$(1.16) \quad f_j^k h_{ki} = f_i^k h_{kj}.$$

If we transvect (1.16) with  $v^i u^j$ , then we have

$$(1.17) \quad h_{ij} u^i u^j = -h_{ij} v^i v^j.$$

Transvecting (1.16) with  $f_k^j$  and taking account of (1.10), we have

$$(u^k u^l + v^k v^l) h_{li} = (u_i u^l + v_i v^l) h_l^k,$$

from which

$$(1-\lambda^2) h_{ij} u^j = h_{ij} u^l u^j u_i + h_{ij} u^l v^j v_i,$$

$$(1-\lambda^2) h_{ij} v^j = h_{ij} u^l v^j u_i + h_{ij} v^l v^j v_i.$$

From (1.17) these equations can be written as

$$(1.18) \quad h_{ij} u^j = \beta u_i - \alpha v_i,$$

$$h_{ij} v^j = \beta u_i - \alpha v_i,$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are defined by

$$h_{ji} u^j u^i = \alpha(1-\lambda^2),$$

$$h_{ji} u^j v^i = \beta(1-\lambda^2).$$

Moreover, from (1.16) we can easily show that  $h_i^i = 0$ , that is, the hypersurface  $M$  is minimal.

Differentiating the second equation of (1.18) covariantly along  $M$ , we obtain

$$(1.19) \quad (\nabla_k h_{ij}) v^j + h_{ij} [f_k^j + \lambda h_j^k] = (\nabla_k \beta) u_i + \beta (-h_k^l f_{li} - \lambda g_{ki}) - (\nabla_k \alpha) v_i - \alpha (f_{ki} + \lambda h_{ki}).$$

On the other hand, operating  $\nabla_k$  to (1.15) and taking account of (1.13) and (1.14), we have

$$(1.20) \quad \nabla_k \nabla_j \lambda = -\lambda g_{kj} - f_j^i h_{ik} - (f_k^h + \lambda h_k^h) h_{hj} - v^h \nabla_k h_{jh}.$$

If we subtract (1.20) from the equation obtained by interchanging the indices  $k$  and  $i$  in (1.19), we obtain

$$(1.22) \quad (\nabla_k \beta) u_i - (\nabla_j \beta) u_k - [(\nabla_k \alpha) v_i - (\nabla_i \alpha) v_k] - 2\alpha f_{ki} = 0,$$

because of (1.21) and (1.16).

Transvecting (1.22) with  $u^i$  and making use of (1.10) we get

$$(1.23) \quad \nabla_k \beta = \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} \{u^i (\nabla_i \beta) u_k + (2\lambda\alpha - u^i \nabla_i \alpha) v_k\}.$$

Substituting (1.23) into (1.22), we find

$$(1.24) \quad \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} \{2\lambda\alpha v_k u_i - 2\lambda\alpha v_i u_k - (\nabla_j \alpha) u^j u_i v_k + u^j (\nabla_j \alpha) v_i u_k\} \\ - \{(\nabla_k \alpha) v_i - (\nabla_i \alpha) v_k\} - 2\alpha f_{ki} = 0$$

If we transvect (1.24) with  $v^i$  we have

$$(1.25) \quad \nabla_k \alpha = \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} \{u^j (\nabla_j \alpha) u_k + v^j (\nabla_j \alpha) v_k\}.$$

Substituting (1.25) into (1.24), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} \{2\lambda\alpha v_k u_i - 2\lambda\alpha v_i u_k - (\nabla_j \alpha) u^j v_i u_k + (\nabla_j \alpha) u^j v_i u_k\} \\ - \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} \left[ \{(\nabla_j \alpha) u^j u_k + (\nabla_j \alpha) v^j v_k\} v_i - \{(\nabla_j \alpha) u^j u_i + (\nabla_j \alpha) v^j v_i\} v_k \right] - 2\lambda f_{ki} = 0,$$

from which

$$(1.26) \quad \lambda\alpha(v_k u_i - v_i u_k) = \alpha(1-\lambda^2) f_{ki}.$$

Transvecting (1.26) with  $f^{ij}$  and using (1.10), we find

$$\alpha(1-\lambda^2) [-\delta_k^j + u_k u^j + v_k v^j] = -\lambda^2 \alpha [v_k v^j + u_k u^j],$$

from which, we have  $\alpha=0$  if  $n>1$ . Thus, the equations of (1.18) can be written as

$$(1.27) \quad h_{ij} u^j = \beta v_i, \\ h_{ij} v^j = \beta u_i.$$

## § 2. Certain hypersurface of a Sasakian manifold

In this section we assume that the tangent space of the hypersurface  $M$  is invariant under the curvature transformation of a Sasakian manifold  $\tilde{M}$ . The equation of Codazzi are  $\nabla_k h_{ji} - \nabla_j h_{ki} = 0$ .

Gauss equation is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{R}_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} B_\nu^\kappa B_j^\mu B_i^\lambda B_h^\kappa = R_{kjih} - (h_{kh}h_{ji} - h_{jk}h_{ki}).$$

Transvecting (2.1) with  $v^j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} B_k^\nu B_j^\mu B_i^\lambda B_h^\kappa v^j &= \tilde{R}_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} B_k^\kappa (V^\mu - \lambda C^\mu) B_i^\lambda B_h^\kappa \\ &= \tilde{R}_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} V^\mu B_k^\nu B_i^\lambda B_h^\kappa = (V_\lambda G_{\kappa\nu} - V_\kappa G_{\lambda\nu}) B_k^\nu B_i^\lambda B_h^\kappa \\ &= v_i g_{kh} - v_h g_{ki} = R_{kjih} v^j - \beta(h_{kh}u_i - h_{ki}u_h) \end{aligned}$$

because of (1.6), (1.9) and  $\tilde{R}_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} B_k^\nu B_j^\mu B_i^\lambda C^\kappa = 0$ ,

Thus, we find

$$(2.2) \quad R_{kjih} v^j = v_i g_{kh} - v_h g_{ki} + \beta(h_{kh}u_i - h_{ki}u_h).$$

Differentiating the first equation of (1.27) covariantly, we find

$$(2.3) \quad (\nabla_k h_{ij})u^j + h_{ij}(\nabla_k v^j) = (\nabla_k \beta)v_i + \beta(f_{ki} + \lambda h_{ki}).$$

If we subtract (2.3) from the equation obtained by interchanging the indices  $k$  and  $i$  in (2.3), we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad -2f_{kj}h_{il}h^{jl} = (\nabla_k \beta)v_i - (\nabla_i \beta)v_k + 2\beta f_{ki}$$

Transvecting (2.4) with  $v^i$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_k \beta &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} \{ (2\beta\lambda + 2\beta^2\lambda)u_k + (\nabla_i \beta)v^i u_k \}, \text{ or} \\ (2.5) \quad \nabla_k \beta &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} (2\beta\lambda + 2\beta^2\lambda)u_k \text{ by virtue of (1.23).} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.5) into (2.4), we find

$$-f_k^j h_{il} h_j^l = \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} \lambda(\beta + \beta^2)(u_k v_i - u_i v_k) + \beta f_{ki}.$$

Transvecting the above equation with  $f_i^k$ , we obtain

$$-(-\delta_i^j + u_i u^j + v_i v^j) h_{ih} h_j^h = \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} (\beta + \beta^2) \lambda^2 [v_i v_i + u_i u_i] + \beta [-g_{ii} + u_i u_i + v_i v_i],$$

from which

$$(2.6) \quad (1-\lambda^2)(h_i^h h_{ih} + \beta g_{ii}) = \beta(\beta+1)(u_i u_i + v_i v_i).$$

We here assume that the sectional curvature  $\kappa(x)$  with respect to the section spanned by  $u^h$  and  $v^h$  is constant at every point  $x$  of  $M$ . Then the sectional curvature  $\kappa(x)$  with respect to the section spanned by  $u^h$  and  $v^h$  is given by

$$\kappa(x) = \frac{R_{kjih} u^k v^j u^i v^h}{-v_j v^j u_i u^i} = 1 - \beta^2,$$

because of (2.2)

Thus,  $\beta=0$  or  $\beta=-1$  by virtue of (2.5).

Case 1.  $\beta=0$ .

From (2.6) we obtain  $h_{ij}=0$ . Hence we have

$\nabla_j \nabla_i \lambda = -\lambda g_{ji}$  from (1.13) and (1.15). By Obata's theorem [3], if  $M$  is complete orientable hypersurface in  $\tilde{M}$ , then  $M$  is isometric with a sphere  $S^{2n}(1)$

Case 2.  $\beta=-1$ .

In this case we have from (2.6)

$$(2.7) \quad h_i^i h_i^j = \delta_i^j$$

$h_i^i=0$ , (2.7) and  $\nabla_k h_{ij}=0$  show that  $M^{2n}$  is a product  $N \times N'$  of  $N$  and  $N'$  both of the same dimension  $n$ . Thus, we cover  $N$  by a system of coordinate neighborhoods  $\{V : y^a\}$  and  $N'$  by a system of coordinate neighborhoods  $\{W : y^r\}$  and consequently  $N \times N'$  by  $\{V \times W : y^h\}$ . Then the metric tensor  $g$  has components of the form

$$(2.8) \quad g_{ji} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{cb}(y^a) & 0 \\ 0 & g_{ts}(y^r) \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $h_i^h$  those of the form

$$(2.9) \quad h_j^i = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_a^b & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_r^s \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, from (1.16), we see that  $f_i^h$  has components of the form

$$(2.10) \quad f_i^h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_a^s \\ f_r^b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $\nabla_j \lambda = (1-\beta)u_j = 2u_j$ , we have

$$\nabla_j \nabla_i \lambda = -h_{jk} f_i^k - 2\lambda g_{ji}$$

Consequently, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \nabla_c \nabla_b \lambda = -2\lambda g_{cb}$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad \nabla_t \nabla_s \lambda = -2\lambda g_{ts}$$

because of (2.9) and (2.10).

$a, b, c, \dots$  run over  $1, 2, \dots, n$

$r, s, t, \dots$  run over  $n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n$

The submanifold  $N$  and  $N'$  being both complete, the theorem of Obata [3], (2.11) and (2.12) show that  $N$  and  $N'$  are isometric to  $S^n\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$ . Thus  $M^{2n}$  is isometric to  $S^n\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \times S^n\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$ . Hence we have the following

**THEOREM** *Let  $M$  be a complete orientable hypersurface of a Sasakian manifold  $\tilde{M}$  which satisfies  $f \circ h = -h \circ f$ ,  $\lambda(1 - \lambda^2)$  being almost everywhere non-zero and the tangent space of  $M$  being invariant under the curvature transformation of  $\tilde{M}$ . If the sectional curvature  $\kappa(x)$  with respect to the section spanned by  $u^h$  and  $v^h$  is constant at every point  $x$  of  $M$ , then  $M$  is isometric with a sphere  $S^{2n}(1)$  or  $M$  a product of  $S^n\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$  and  $S^n\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$*

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Blair. D.E, G.D. Ludden and K. Yano, *Hypersurfaces of odd-dimensional sphere* to appear in J. of Diff. Geom.
- [2] Blair. D.E, G.D. Ludden and K. Yano, *Induced structures on submanifolds*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 22 (1970) 188—198
- [3] Obata, M., *Certain conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be isometric with a sphere*, J. Math Soc Japan 14 (1962) 333—340
- [4] Yamaguchi. S., *On hypersurfaces in Sasakian manifolds*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 21 (1969) 64—72
- [5] Yano. K and U-Hang Ki, *On quasi-normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$  structure*, to appear in Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.
- [6] Yano. K and M. Okumura, *On  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -Structure*. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 22 (1970). 401—423
- [7] Yano. K and M. Okumura, *On normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -Structures on submanifolds of codimension 2 in an even dimensional Euclidean space*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 23(1971) 172—197.