# A NOTE ON SPACES BY EMBEDDINGS IN $\beta X$

By Young Soo Jo and Sang Ug Lee

### 1. Introduction

In [3], Raymond F. Gittings defined the following terminologies; a completely regular space X is  $P_k$ -embedded in  $\beta X$ ,  $G_{\tilde{\sigma}}(K)$ -embedded in  $\beta X$ , strictly  $P_k$ -embedded in  $\beta X$  and strongly  $P_k$ -embedded in  $\beta X$  by imposing certain conditions on a space X in terms of the way it is embedded in its Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta X$ .

In this paper we define  $w^kM$ -spaces as a generalization of  $w\Delta$ -spaces and wM-spaces and obtain some characterizations of  $w^kM$ -spaces and strictly  $P_k$ -embedded spaces in  $\beta X$ . All completely regular spaces are assumed  $T_1$  and the set of positive integers is denoted by N.

### 2. Preliminaries and definitions

If A is a subset of a space X, the closure A in X is denoted by  $\operatorname{Cl}_x A$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a collection of subsets of a space X and  $x \in X$ , we define  $\operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{U})$  as follows:

$$\operatorname{St}^{1}(x, \mathcal{U}) = \operatorname{St}(x, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{U} : x \in U\},$$
  
 $\operatorname{St}^{k}(x, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap \operatorname{St}^{k-1}(x, \mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset\} \text{ for } k \geq 2.$ 

If  $\mathscr U$  and  $\mathscr V$  are covers of a space X, we write  $\mathscr U < \mathscr V$  if for every  $U \in \mathscr U$  there exists  $V \in \mathscr V$  such that  $U \subset V$ . If  $\langle \mathscr U_n \rangle$  is a sequence of covers of X such that  $\mathscr U_{n+1} < \mathscr U_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb N$ , then the sequence  $\langle \mathscr U_n \rangle$  is called a refining sequence.

DEFINITION 2.1 [3]. A completely regular space X is said to be *strictly*  $P_k$ -embedded in  $\beta X$  if there exists a refining sequence  $\langle \mathcal{B}_n \rangle$  of covers of X by sets open in  $\beta X$  such that

- (a)  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{St}^{k}(x, \mathcal{F}_{n}) \subset X$  for each  $x \in X$  and
- (b) for each  $x \in X$  and  $n \in N$ , there exists  $n(X) \in N$  such that  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\beta x} \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{B}_{n(x)}) \subset \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{B}_n)$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. A space X is called a  $w^kM$ -space if there exists a refining sequence  $\langle \mathcal{U}_n \rangle$  of open covers of X such that if  $x_n \in \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{U}_n)$ , then the sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  has a cluster point in X.

In this case, the sequence  $\langle \mathcal{U}_n \rangle$  will be called  $w^k M$ -sequence. In other paper,  $w^1$  M-space is called  $w \Delta$ -space and  $w^2 M$ -space is called w M-space.

EXAMPLE 2.3 (A  $w^2M$ -space which is not a  $w^3M$ -space). Let  $R=[0,\omega]$ ,  $S=[0,\Omega]$  and  $T=[0,\Omega]$  with the order topology where  $\omega$  is the first infinite ordinal and  $\Omega$  is the first uncountable ordinal. If we put  $X=R\times S\times T-\{(\omega,\Omega,\Omega)\}$ , then the space X is a  $w^2M$ -space but it is not a  $w^3M$ -space.

# 3. Main results

The following lemmas will be used throughout the remainder of paper.

LEMMA 3.1[3]. Let  $\langle \mathcal{B}_n \rangle$  be a sequence of open collections of subsets of  $\beta X$ . If we put  $\mathcal{U}_n = \{B \cap X : B \in \mathcal{B}_n\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{U}_n) = \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{B}_n) \cap X$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

LEMMA 3.2[3]. Let  $\langle \mathcal{U}_n \rangle$  be a sequence of open covers of X. If we put  $\mathcal{B}_n = \{B \text{ open in } \beta X : B \cap X \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{U}_n) = \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{B}_n) \cap X$  for each  $x \in X$ .

LEMMA 3.3[3]. Let  $\langle \mathcal{U}_n \rangle$  be a sequence of open covers of X with  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1} < \mathcal{U}_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If we put  $\mathcal{B}_n = \{B \text{ open in } \beta X : B \cap X \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ , then  $\mathcal{B}_{n+1} < \mathcal{B}_n$  for each n.

THEOREM 3.4. A completely regular space X is strictly  $P_k$ -embedded in  $\beta X$  for any  $k{\in}N$  if and only if there is a sequence  $\langle\mathcal{U}_n\rangle$  of open covers of X satisfying:

- (a)  $P_x = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{U}_n)$  is a compact subset of X for each  $x \in X$ ;
- (b) The family  $\{\operatorname{St}^k(x,\mathcal{U}_n): n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a neighborhood base for the set  $P_x$ .

PROOF. Let  $\langle \mathscr{B}_n \rangle$  be a refining sequence of covers of X by sets open in  $\beta X$  satisfying (a) and (b) of Definition 2.1. If we put  $\mathscr{U}_n = \{B \cap X : B \in \mathscr{B}_n\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then by Lemma 3.1,  $P_x = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathscr{B}_n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Cl}_{\beta x} \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathscr{B}_n)$  is compact subset of X and the family  $\{\operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathscr{U}_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a neighborhood base for the

set  $P_r$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\langle \mathcal{U}_n \rangle$  is a refining sequence of open covers of X satisfying (a) and (b). If we put  $\mathcal{B}_n = \{B \text{ open in } \beta X : B \cap X \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$  for each  $n \in N$ , then by Lemma 3.3,  $\langle \mathcal{B}_n \rangle$  is a refining sequence of covers of X by sets open in  $\beta X$  and by Lemma 3.2,  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\beta x} \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{B}_{n(x)}) \subset \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{B}_n)$  for some  $n(x) \in N$  and hence  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{B}_n) \subset X$ . Thus X is strictly  $P_k$ -embedded in  $\beta X$ .

THEOREM 3.5. If X is strictly  $P_k$ -embedded in  $\beta X$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then X is  $w^k M$ -space.

PROOF. If X is strictly  $P_k$ -embedded in  $\beta X$ , then there exists a refining sequence  $\langle \mathcal{U}_n \rangle$  of open covers of X satisfying (a) and (b) of Theorem 3.4. It is easy to show that  $\langle \mathcal{U}_n \rangle$  is a  $w^k M$ -sequence.

THEOREM 3.6. A completely regular space X is strictly  $P_k$ -embedded in  $\beta X$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if X is a  $w^k M$ -space having  $w^k M$ -sequence  $\langle \mathcal{U}_n \rangle$  satisfying  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Cl}_{\beta x} \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{U}_n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{U}_n).$ 

PROOF. Let  $\langle \mathcal{B}_n \rangle$  be a refining sequence of covers of X by sets open in  $\beta X$  satisfying (a) and (b) of Definition 2.1. If we put  $\mathcal{U}_n = \{B \cap X : B \in \mathcal{B}_n\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then by Theorem 3.5,  $\langle \mathcal{U}_n \rangle$  is a  $w^k M$ -sequence and

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Cl}_{\beta x} \operatorname{St}^{k}(x, \mathcal{U}_{n}) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{St}^{k}(x, \mathcal{U}_{n}).$$

Conversely, let  $\langle \mathcal{U}_n \rangle$  be a refining sequence of open covers of X such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Cl}_{\beta x} \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{U}_n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{U}_n)$ . Let U be an open set in X containing  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{U}_n)$  and let W be an open set in  $\beta X$  such that  $W \cap X = U$ . Consider the set  $H_n = \operatorname{Cl}_{\beta x} \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{U}_n) - W$ . It follows that  $\operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{U}_n) \subset U$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus by Theorem 3.4, X is strictly  $P_k$ -embedded.

THEOREM 3.7. A completely regular space X is strictly  $P_k$ -embedded in  $\beta X$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if there exists a refining sequence of covers of X by sets open in  $\beta X$  such that  $\bigcap_{x=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Cl}_{\beta x} \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{B}_n) = \bigcap_{x=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{B}_n) \subset X$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.7 is analogous to the proof of Theorem 3.6.

Keimying University Daegu, Korea.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] James Dugundji, Topology, Allyn and Bacon Inc. Boston (1966).
- [2] John L. Kelley, *General topology*, Springer-Verlag New York Heidelberg Berlin, (1955).
- [3] Raymond F. Gittings, Characterizations of spaces by embeddings in βX, Top. and its Applications, 11(1980), 149-159.
- [4] D.K. Burke and R.A. Stoltenberg, A note on P-spaces and Moore spaces, Pacific J. Math. 30(1969), 601-608.
- [5] D.K. Burke, On P-spaces and wΔ-spaces, Pacific J. Math. 35 (1970), 285-296.
- [6] T. Ishii, On wM-spaces I, II, Proc. Japan Acad. 46 (1970), 5-15.
- [7] K. Morita, Product of normal spaces with metric spaces, Math. Ann. 154 (1964), 365 -382.