

## ON WEYL FRACTIONAL CALCULUS AND H-FUNCTION TRANSFORM

By R.K. Raina and C.L. Koull

### 1. Introduction

The Weyl fractional derivative of a function  $g(z)$  is defined as follows:—

Suppose  $g(z) \in A$ , where  $A$  denotes a class of functions which are everywhere differentiable any number of times and if it and all of its derivatives are  $O(x^{-r})$  for all  $r$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  [4, p.82]. Then for  $q < 0$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad {}_z D_{\infty}^q g(z) = \frac{(-1)^q}{\Gamma(-q)} \int_z^{\infty} (u-z)^{-q-1} g(u) du.$$

For  $q \geq 0$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad {}_z D_{\infty}^q g(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} ({}_z D_{\infty}^{q-n} g(z)), \quad n \text{ being a positive integer such that } n > q.$$

The  $H$ -function transform of a function  $f(t)$  is defined as [2, p.142]

$$(1.3) \quad \tilde{f}(p) = \int_0^{\infty} H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[ (pt)^h \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i) 1, P \\ (b_i, \beta_i) 1, Q \end{matrix} \right] f(t) dt, \quad h > 0,$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[ z \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i) 1, P \\ (b_i, \beta_i) 1, Q \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \theta(s) z^s ds, \quad \omega = \mathcal{V} - 1,$$

is the well-known  $H$ -function [3, p.594].

In (1.4),

$$(1.5) \quad \theta(s) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^M \Gamma(b_i - \beta_i s) \prod_{i=1}^N \Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i s) \right) x \\ \times \left( \prod_{i=M+1}^Q \Gamma(1 - b_i + \beta_i s) \prod_{i=N+1}^P \Gamma(a_i - \alpha_i s) \right)^{-1},$$

an empty product is interpreted as unity; the integers  $M, N, P, Q$  satisfy  $1 \leq M \leq Q, 0 \leq N \leq P$ ;  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  are all positive and the contour  $L$  is suitably chosen such that no poles of the integrand coincide.

If

$$(1.6) \quad \lambda = \sum_1^M (\beta_i) - \sum_{M+1}^Q (\beta_i) + \sum_1^N (\alpha_i) - \sum_{N+1}^P (\alpha_i),$$

then the integral in (1.4) is absolutely convergent when

$$(1.7) \quad \lambda > 0, \quad |\arg z| < \frac{1}{2}\lambda\pi.$$

The conditions of absolute convergence of the integral in (1.3) are given in [2].

By virtue of the relations [3, p. 600, (4.7)]

$$(1.8) \quad H_{0,1}^{1,0} \left[ t \middle| \begin{matrix} - \\ (0,1) \end{matrix} \right] = e^{-t}$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad H_{1,1}^{1,1} \left[ t \middle| \begin{matrix} (1-\alpha, 1) \\ (0,1) \end{matrix} \right] = \Gamma(\alpha)(1+t)^{-\alpha}, \quad (1.3) \text{ reduces respectively to}$$

the classical Laplace transform given by

$$(1.10) \quad g(p) = L[f(t); p] = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-pt} f(t) dt,$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad \bar{g}(p) = p^{-\alpha} \bar{f}\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) = \Gamma(\alpha) S_{\alpha}[f(t); p], \text{ which is the generalized}$$

Stieltjes transform [1, p. 233] given by

$$(1.12) \quad S_{\alpha}[f(t); p] = \int_0^{\infty} (p+t)^{-\alpha} f(t) dt.$$

The aim of the paper is to establish a result for the  $H$ -function transform of  $t^q f(t)$  ( $q$  real) in the Weyl fractional calculus as also to establish a generating relation for  $H$ -function with the help of generalized Taylor's formula [5].

**THEOREM.** Suppose  $\bar{f}(p)$ , given by (1.3), be such that  $\bar{f}(p) \in A$ . Suppose the conditions (1.6) and (1.7) for the  $H$ -function hold. Then

$$(2.1) \quad (-1)_p^q D_{\infty}^q \bar{f}(p) = \phi[t^q f(t); p], \text{ for all } q \text{ real,}$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \phi[t^q f(t); p] = \int_0^{\infty} H_{P+1, Q+1}^{n+1, N} \left[ (pt)^h \middle| \begin{matrix} \left( \alpha_i - \frac{q\alpha_i}{h}, \alpha_i \right)_{1, P}, (-q, h) \\ (0, h), \left( \beta_i - \frac{q\beta_i}{h}, \beta_i \right)_{1, Q} \end{matrix} \right] \\ \times t^q f(t) dt,$$

provided that the various integrals involved are absolutely convergent.

**PROOF.** Case I: For  $q < 0$ , we have in view of (1.1) and (1.3),

$$(2.3) \quad (-1)_p^q D_{\infty}^q \bar{f}(p) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-q)} \int_p^{\infty} (u-p)^{-q-1} \int_0^{\infty} H_{P, Q}^{M, N} \left[ (ut)^h \middle| \begin{matrix} \left( \alpha_i, \alpha_i \right)_{1, P} \\ \left( \beta_i, \beta_i \right)_{1, Q} \end{matrix} \right] f(t) dt du.$$

Inverting the order of integrations (which is justified under the conditions imposed with the theorem), we get

$$(2.4) \quad (-1)_p^q D_p^q \bar{f}(p) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-q)} \int_0^\infty f(t) \left[ \int_p^\infty (u-p)^{-q-1} H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[ (ut)^h \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,P} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right] du \right] dt.$$

Since

$$(2.5) \quad \int_p^\infty (u-p)^{-q-1} u^k H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[ au^h \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,P} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right] du \\ = p^{k-q} \Gamma(-q) H_{P+1,Q+1}^{M+1,N} \left[ ap^h \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,P}, (-k, h) \\ (q-k, h), (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right]$$

where

$h > 0$ ,  $Re[k + h(a_i - 1)/\alpha_i] < q < 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ),  $|\arg a| < \frac{1}{2}\lambda\pi$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  ( $\lambda$  being given by (1.6)), ((2.5) can be easily established), (2.4) reduces to

$$(2.6) \quad (-1)_p^q D_p^q \bar{f}(p) = \int_0^\infty p^{-q} H_{P+1,Q+1}^{M+1,N} \left[ (pt)^h \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,P}, (0, h) \\ (q, h), (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right] f(t) dt.$$

By using [3, p. 596, eqns. (2.4) and (2.2)], the result (2.1) is arrived at for  $q < 0$ .

Case II: For  $q \geq 0$ , by utilising (1.2) and Case I, we can write

$$(2.7) \quad (-1)_p^q D_p^q \bar{f}(p) = (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dp^n} \left( \int_0^\infty H_{P+1,Q+1}^{M+1,N} \left[ (pt)^h \begin{matrix} (a_i - q' - \frac{\alpha_i}{h}, \alpha_i), (-q', h) \\ (0, h), (b_i - q' - \frac{\beta_i}{h}, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right] t^{q'} f(t) dt \right), \quad q' = q - n.$$

Differentiating under the sign of integral (valid under the conditions stated with the theorem), using [6, p. 131, (4.1)] and [3, p. 596, (2.4) and (2.2)], we find  $(-1)_p^q D_p^q \bar{f}(p) = \phi [t^q f(t); p]$ , for  $q \geq 0$ , where  $\phi[-; p]$  is given by (2.2). This establishes the theorem for all real values of  $q$ .

### 3. Particular cases

1. On choosing parameters suitably as in (1.8), (2.1) reduces to a result due to authors [5 p. 189].

2. Similarly on choosing parameters as indicated in (1.9), replacing  $p$  by  $1/p$ , then the following known result [1, p.213] emerges from (2.1), valid for all real  $q$ :

$$(3.1) \quad (-1)^q {}_p D_{\infty}^q \bar{g}(p) = \Gamma(\alpha+q) S_{\alpha+q} [t^q f(t); p],$$

where  $\bar{g}(p)$  is given by (1.11).

3. Because of the general nature of the  $H$ -functions, a number of other results can be derived from the main theorem on specialising parameters of the  $H$ -function in (2.1). These are omitted here for lack of space.

**4. The generating relation**

A generalization of the well-known Taylor's formula was recently given by the authors in the theory of Weyl fractional calculus in the following form [5, p.189]:

Let  $f(t) \in A$ . Then,

$$(4.1) \quad f(p+t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c \times \frac{t^{cn+\eta}}{\Gamma(cn+\eta+1)} {}_p D_{\infty}^{cn+\eta} f(p),$$

where  $c$  is a real number such that  $0 < c \leq 1$ ,  $\eta$  is arbitrary and is valid for all  $t$  on the circle  $|t/p|=1$ .

In this section we use this result to establish a generating relation for  $H$ -function by taking  $f(t)$  suitably.

We take

$$(4.2) \quad f(t) = t^{a-1} H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[ zt^b \left| \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,P} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right], \quad b > 0$$

in (4.1) and use (2.5) etc. to get the generating relation:

$$(4.3) \quad (p+t)^{a-1} H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[ z(p+t)^b \left| \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,P} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\ = P^{a-1} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c(-t/p)^{cn+\eta}}{\Gamma(cn+\eta+1)} H_{P+1,Q+1}^{M+1,N} \left[ zp^b \left| \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,P}, (1-a, b) \\ (cn+\eta-a+1, b), (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

valid for  $|t/p|=1$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $c$  being a real number such that  $0 < c \leq 1$  and  $\eta$  arbitrary.

Department of Mathematics  
M.R. Engineering College  
Jaipur-4, India 302004

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Erdélyi, et al., *Tables of integral transforms*, Vol. II, Mc Graw Hill, New York (1954).
- [2] K.C. Gupta and P.K. Mittal, *The H-function transform*, J. Austral Math. Soc., 11(1970), 142—148.
- [3] K.C. Gupta and U.C. Jain, *The H-function II*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., India, Sec. A 36 (1966), 594—609.
- [4] K.S. Miller, *The Weyl fractional calculus, fractional calculus and its applications, lecture notes in mathematics*, 457, Springer Verlag, New York (1975).
- [5] R.K. Raina and C.L. Koul, *On Weyl fractional calculus*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 73, No.2 (1979), 188—192.
- [6] P. Skibiniski, *Some expansion theorems for the H-function*, Annal. Polon. Math., 23(1970), 125—138.