WALSH FUNCTIONS AND GENERALIZED ALMOST CONVERGENCE

By Dr. Mursaleen and S.K. Gupta

1. Introduction

The Rademacher functions are defined by

$$\begin{split} &h_0(x) = 1 \ \left(0 \le x < \frac{1}{2}\right), \ h_0(x) = -1 \ \left(\frac{1}{2} \le x < 1\right), \\ &h_0(x+1) = h_0(x), \ h_n(x) = h_0(2^n x), \ (n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots). \end{split}$$

The Walsh functions are then given by

$$\phi_0(x)=1$$
, $\phi_n(x)=h_1(x)$, $h_2(x)$, ..., $h_n(x)$

for $n=2^{n_1}+2^{n_2}+\cdots+2^{n_r}$, where the integers n_i are uniquely determined by $n_{i+1} < n_i$.

Let f(x) be an integrable function in the sense of Lebesque in [0,1] and be periodic with period 1. Let the Walsh-Fourier series of f(x) be $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \phi_n(x)$, where

(1.1)
$$a_n = \int_0^1 f(x) \phi_n(x) dx.$$

We shall now enumerate important properties and results concerning Walsh-Functions which have been obtained by Fine [1] and which have played a significant role in the theory of Walsh-Fourier series.

For each fixed x and for almost all t, the equation

(1.2)
$$\phi_n(x+1) = \phi_n(x)\phi_n(t)$$
 holds,

for each fixed x.

and

(1.4)
$$\int_0^1 f(t)\phi_n(x+t)dt = \int_0^1 f(x+t)\phi_n(t) dt.$$

Let

$$J_k(y) = \int_0^y \phi_k(t) dt$$
, $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$
 $J_k^*(y) = k J_k(y)$.

For $k \ge 1$, we write $k = 2^n + k^1$, where

 $0 \le k^1 < 2^n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we have also

(1.5)
$$f_k(y) = 2^{-(n+2)} \{ \phi_k 1(y) - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} 2^{-r} \phi_2 n + r(y) \}.$$

It is easy to see that

(1.6)
$$2^{n+2} J_b(y) = 0$$
, for $y = 0, 1$,

and

(1.7)
$$|J_k^*(y)| \leq M \text{ for all } y \text{ and } k.$$

Let $B_k(x)$ denote the sequence $\{ka_k\phi_k(x)\}$, where a_k is Walsh-Fourier coefficient of a function of bounded variation.

The matrix $A=(a_{nk})$ is said to be $(c,F_{\mathscr{B}})_{reg}$ (see [3]) if and only if

- (i) $N(A) < \infty$ and there exists a whole number $r \ge 0$ such that $\sup \sum_{b} |\sum_{n} b_{mn}(i) \ a_{nk}| < \infty, \ 0 \le i < \infty, \ r \le m < \infty.$
- (ii) $\lim_{m} \sum_{i} b_{mn}(i) a_{nk} = 0$ for each k, uniform in i,
- (iii) $\lim_{m} \sum_{n} b_{mn}(i) \sum_{k} a_{nk} = 1$ uniform in i.

2. We shall prove the following theorem

THEOREM. If $A \in (c, F_{\mathscr{B}})_{reg}$, then for every $f \in BV[0, 1]$ and for every $x \in [0, 1]$

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{n} b_{mn}(i) \sum_{k} a_{nk} B_{k}(x) = 0$$

uniformly in i, if and only if

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{n} b_{mn}(i) \sum_{k} a_{nk} J_{k}^{*}(t) = 0$$

uniformly in i for every $t \in [\delta, 1]$, $\delta > 0$.

PROOF OF THEOREM. We have by virtue of (1, 2) and (1,4)

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{k} b_{mn}(i) \ \Sigma a_{nk} \ B_{k}(x) \\ & = \sum_{n} b_{mn}(i) \ \sum_{k} a_{nk}(k a_{k} \ \phi_{k}(x)) \\ & = \sum_{n} b_{mn}(i) \ \sum_{k} a_{nk} \Big(k \int_{0}^{1} f(t) \ \phi_{k}(t) \ \phi_{k}(x) \ dt \Big) \\ & \sum_{n} b_{mn}(i) \ \sum_{k} a_{nk} \Big(k \int_{0}^{1} f(t) \ \phi_{k}(x+t) \ dt \Big) \\ & = \sum_{n} b_{mn}(i) \ \sum_{k} a_{nk} \Big(k \int_{0}^{1} f(t+x) \phi_{k}(t) \ dt \Big) \end{split}$$

$$= \sum_{n} b_{mn}(i) \sum_{k} a_{nk} k [f(x+t)J_{k}(t)]_{0}^{1} - \sum_{n} b_{mn}(i) \sum_{k} a_{nk} \int_{0}^{1} df(x+t) J_{k}^{*}(t)$$

$$= J_{1} - J_{2}, \text{ say.}$$

By virtue of condition (ii) of Theorem S[3], $J_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in i. Now, it is suffficient to show that

(2.1)
$$J_2 = \int_0^1 df(x+t) K_{n,i}(t) \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } m \to \infty$$

uniformly in i, where

$$K_{n,i}(t) = \sum_{u} b_{mn}(i) \sum_{k} a_{nk} J_{k}^{*}(t).$$

Now by virtue of condition (i) of Theorem S[3] we have

(2.2)
$$|K_{n,i}(t)| \leq M, i=0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

It is easy to show that (2.1) is equivalent to

(2.3)
$$\int_{\bar{\delta}}^{1} K_{n,i}(t) df(x+t) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \longrightarrow \infty$$

uniformly in i.

Hence by a theorem on the weak convergence of sequences in the Banach space of all continuous function [4, p.134] and the fact that $|K_{n,i}(t)| \leq M$ for all n, i and $t \in [\delta, 1]$, $\delta > 0$. Now, this completes the proof of theorem.

The author wishes to express his grateful thanks to Dr. Z. U. Ahmad for help and guidence from him.

REMARK. If we choose the matrix $\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{B}_0 = (I)$, our theorem reduced to Theorem 1 and for $\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{B}_1$ it will become Theorem 2 of A. II. Siddiqi [2].

Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202001, India.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. J. Fine, On the Walsh-functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 65(1949), 372-414.
- [2] A.H. Siddiqi, On the summability of a sequence of Walsh-functions, Jour. of the Australian Math. Soc. Vol. 10(1969), 385-394.
- [3] M. Stieglitz, Eine Verallgemeinering des Begriffs des Fast Konvergenz. Math. Japan, 18(1973), 53-70.
- [4] S. Banach, Theorie des operations lineaires, (Warsawa, 1932).