

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Antiproliferative Activity of *Lavatera cashmeriana*- Protease Inhibitors towards Human Cancer Cells

Syed Rakashanda¹, Asif Khurshid Qazi², Rabiya Majeed², Shaista Rafiq¹, Ishaq Mohammad Dar¹, Akbar Masood¹, Abid Hamid², Shajrul Amin^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Proteases play a regulatory role in a variety of pathologies including cancer, pancreatitis, thromboembolic disorders, viral infections and many others. One of the possible strategies to combat these pathologies seems to be the use of protease inhibitors. LC-pi I, II, III and IV (*Lavatera cashmeriana*-protease inhibitors) have been found in vitro to strongly inhibit trypsin, chymotrypsin and elastase, proteases contributing to tumour invasion and metastasis, indicated possible anticancer effects. The purpose of this study was to check in vitro anticancer activity of these four inhibitors on human lung cancer cell lines. **Material and Methods:** In order to assess whether these inhibitors induced in vitro cytotoxicity, SRB assay was conducted with THP-1 (leukemia), NCIH322 (lung) and Colo205, HCT-116 (colon) lines. **Results:** LC-pi I significantly inhibited the cell proliferation of all cells tested and also LC-pi II was active in all except HCT-116. Inhibition of cell growth by LC-pi III and IV was negligible. IC₅₀ values of LC-pi I and II for NCIH322, were less compared to other cell lines suggesting that lung cancer cells are more inhibited. **Conclusion:** These investigations might point to future preventive as well as curative solutions using plant protease inhibitors for various cancers, especially in the lung, hence warranting their further investigation.

Keywords: Proteases - *Lavatera cashmeriana* - protease inhibitors - anticancer activity

Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev, 14 (6), 3975-3978

Introduction

Proteases, also known as proteolytic enzymes, are enzymes that catalyze the breakdown of proteins by hydrolysis of peptide bonds. Until fairly recently, proteases were considered primarily to be protein-degrading enzymes. However, this view has dramatically changed and proteases are now seen as extremely important signaling molecules that are involved in numerous vital processes. Protease signaling pathways are strictly regulated, and the dysregulation of protease activity can lead to pathologies, important being cancer. The dysregulated proteases cause the dysregulation of cell cycle, apoptosis, cell growth and activation, cell-cell adhesion, cellular interactions and signal transduction. This all suggest that proteases are Hallmarks of cancer. Mammalian proteolytic enzymes are divided into five classes (aspartic, cysteine, metallo, serine and threonine), and the serine protease (SP) family is the largest (Rawlings et al., 2006). It is widely accepted that SPs degrade extracellular matrix and facilitate neoplastic progression. The trypsin, one of the representative member of SPs, is involved in colorectal carcinogenesis and promotes proliferation, invasion, and metastasis (Uchima et al., 2003; Yamamoto et al., 2003; Soreide et al., 2006;

Matěj et al., 2007). Trypsin activates, and is co-expressed with matrix metalloproteases (MMPs), which are known to facilitate invasion and metastasis (Nyberg et al., 2002; 2006). Polymorphonuclear leukocyte elastase (referred to as elastase) disintegrates matrix proteins (Barrett, 1981), implicating this enzyme in cancer cell invasion and metastasis. Increased levels of elastase have been shown to be strongly associated with recurrence and death in breast cancer patients (Foekens et al., 2003) suggesting that elastase could have a role in tumor progression leading to metastasis in breast cancer (Queen, 2005; Akizuki et al., 2007; Mittendorf, 2012). Thus one possibility of cancer treatment is to suppress the activity of proteases (SPs and MMPs) that play an important role in tumour invasion and metastasis (Jedina and Maliar, 2005; Hunt et al., 2013). Therefore, proteases present a promising strategy for anticancer and antimetastatic therapy.

Protease inhibitors being found to be as special agents in anticancer therapy, have been isolated from plants (Khan et al., 2008; Tochi et al., 2008) or bacteria (Hossain et al., 2007) as their natural source. Its main feature includes their ability to form strong protease-PI complex, inhibiting the proteolytic activity. PIs are mostly isolated from plants suggesting plant PIs may open a new anticancer strategy

¹Department of Biochemistry, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, J&K, ²Cancer Pharmacology Division, CSIR Indian Institute of Integrative Medicine, Jammu, India *For correspondence: shajrul@rediffmail.com

in future. In this communication we have tried to explore the anticancer activity of protease inhibitors isolated from *Lavatera cashmeriana* seeds. *Lavatera cashmeriana* is a medicinal plant widely used in traditional folk medicine (Kaul, 1997). Four protease inhibitors viz LC-pi I, II, III and IV were purified from seeds of *Lavatera cashmeriana*. These four inhibitors inhibited trypsin, chymotrypsin and elastase in vitro (Rakashanda et al., 2013) and antibacterial activity against *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Rakashanda et al., 2012). To explore the application of all LC-pis as antitumor agents, we examined their suppressive effects on various cell lines. In this article, we report on their capacity to suppress the growth of cells, such as THP-1, NCIH322, Colo205 and HCT-116

Materials and Methods

Inhibitors and chemicals

LC-pis were purified from the seeds of *Lavatera cashmeriana*, as described previously (Rakashanda et al., 2012). RPMI-1640 medium (#N 3520), rhodamine-123 (Rh-123) (#R 8004), penicillin (#P 3032), streptomycin (#S 9137), fetal bovine serum (# 7524), mitomycin (#M 4287), sodium bicarbonate (#S 5761), phosphate buffer saline (PBS) (#P3831), sulphorhodamine (SRB) (#1402), trypsin (#T 4799), paclitaxel (#T 7402), 5 fluorouracil (5-FU) (#F 6627), gentamycin sulfate (#G 1264) were procured from SigmaAldrich. Trichloroacetic acid was purchased from Merck Specialties Private Ltd.

Cells and cell culture

Human acute monocytic leukemia cell line (THP-1) was procured from National Centre for Cell Sciences (NCCS), Pune, India. Human lung carcinoma cell line NCIH322 and human colon cancer cell lines (HCT-116 and colo-205) were obtained from National Cancer Institute, Frederick, U.S.A. All the cells that were used were grown in RPMI-1640/MEM medium containing 10% FCS, 100 unit Penicillin/100 µg Streptomycin per ml medium. Cells were allowed to grown in CO₂ incubator (Thermo Scientific USA) at 37°C with 98% humidity and 5% CO₂ gas environment. The cells used for the assay were in logarithmic phase.

Determination of suppressive activity of protease inhibitors

The suppressive activity of inhibitors was evaluated according to the soluble SRB assay (Hoghton et al., 2007). Briefly, required µl of a cell suspension containing required cells/ml was added to each well in a 96-well microtiter plate and was cultured in RPMI-1640 mediums previously stipulated. The cells were allowed to adhere overnight, and then media containing purified inhibitors at different concentrations were added. Originally 30, 50, 70 and 100µg/ml of all four test samples were used. Also peclitaxal and 5- Fluorouracil were used as positive controls. The plates were assayed after 48hrs. The cells were fixed by adding 50µl of ice-cold 50% TCA to each well for 60min in case of adherent cells. The plates were washed five times in running tap water and stained with 100ml per well SRB reagent (0.4% w/v SRB in 1% acetic

acid for 30min). The plates were washed five times in 1% acetic acid to remove unbound SRB and allowed to dry overnight. SRB was solublized with 100µl Tris-base (10mM) per well, shaken for 5min and the OD was measured at 570 nm with reference wavelength of 620nm. Further, the IC₅₀ values in the presence of purified inhibitors on the cancer cells of different tissue origin used for screening were determined by non-linear regression analysis using Graph Pad Software (2236 Avenida de la Playa La Jolla, CA 92037,USA).

Statistical analysis

The experiments were performed at least three times, independently. A logistic non-linear regression model was fit to the data using using Graph Pad Software to calculate the IC₅₀. The data obtained were expressed as ‘mean±standard deviation’. A value of p<0.05 was considered as significant.

Results

LC-pi I and II were found to significantly inhibit the in vitro growth of THP-1, NCIH322, Colo205, whereas only LC-pi I inhibited growth of HCT-116 cells but not LC-pi II in a dose dependent manner. Further LC-pi III and IV could not inhibit the growth of these cells (Table 1). The calculated IC₅₀ values are given in Table 2. IC₅₀ values suggest that NCIH322 lung cancer cells are strongly inhibited by both inhibitors at lower concentrations in comparison to other cell lines. Their pharmacological effects may be mediated through inhibition of the protease activity and the subsequent modulation of the protease pro-survival signaling as LC-pi I and II strongly inhibited the in vitro activity of trypsin, elastase and chymotrypsin

Table 1. Cytotoxic Activity (%age growth inhibition) of LC-pi I, II, III and IV at Different Concentration Against Various Human Cancer Cell Lines

S.NO	Code	Conc(µg/ml)	Tissue Type			
			Leukemia Cell Type	Lung THP-1	NCIH322	Colon Colo205 HCT-116
1	LC-pi I	100	74±2	81±3	70±3	70±2
		70	65±2	73±3	60±2	65±3
		50	52±3	63±2	40±2	55±2
		30	46±2	60±1	30±1	41±4
2	LC-pi II	100	60±1	70±2	52±2	25±2
		70	50±2	65±1	50±1	14±1
		50	37±2	50±1	49±1	11±1
		30	1±0	35±2	27±2	2±1
3	LC-pii III	100	36±2	28±2	23±2	39±3
		70	16±2	19±3	13±2	33±2
		50	6±3	15±3	8±2	24±3
		30	1±0	5±2	6±2	7±3
4	LC-pi IV	100	27±1	36±3	37±2	25±1
		70	25±1	32±3	30±2	18±1
		50	25±1	15±3	27±2	11±2
		30	22±1	18±2	38±2	4±2
5	5-FU	20µM	84±3	72±3	71±1	50±1
6	Paclitaxel	1µM	71±2	50±1	98±2	72±3

*The bold values are shown for those compounds which have proved to be active and those in normal font represent least significant. Results are mean±SD of three separate experiments, conducted in triplicate

Table 2. IC₅₀ Values of LC-pi I, II, III and IV for Growth Inhibition of Various Human Cancer Cell Lines

Cell lines	LC-pi I	LC-pi II
THP-1	46±2	70±3
NCIH322	36±2	51±3
Colo205	60±3	68±2
HCT-116	43±1	NI ^a

*Results are mean ±SD of three separate experiments, conducted in triplicate.

^aNOT INHIBITED, ^bIC₅₀ values are in µg/ml

(Rakashnada et al., 2013)

Interestingly several plant protease inhibitors have been reported as anti-proliferative, apoptosis inducing and chemopreventive agents against human cancer cell lines. The present study has been intended to explore the suppressive activity of LC-pis on various cancer cells. LC-pi I and II having anticancer activity may be further supported by anticancer activity of plant protease inhibitors viz., *Cicer arietinum* inhibitors and other Plant derived inhibitors inhibiting proliferation of breast and prostate cancer cell lines (Mageea et al., 2012), IBB1 and IBBD2, inhibitors from soybean (*Glycine max*) inhibiting proliferation of HT29 human colorectal cancer cells (Clemente et al., 2010), also TI1B, a major Bowman-Birk isoinhibitor from pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) on HT29 colon cancer cells (Clemente et al., 2012) and antitumor Protease Inhibitor from Mini-Black Soybean (Ye and Ng, 2011). These evidences have made the plant protease inhibitors to be considered as nutraceutical proteins, owing to prevention and treatment of cancer (de Mejia and Dia, 2010).

Discussion

In conclusion, LC-pi I and II may have a potential application as anticancer agents. Nevertheless, a better understanding on the detailed mechanism of how LC-pi I and II exert its suppressive activity would be valuable, thus warrants further investigations.

Acknowledgements

The authors express gratitude to University of Kashmir Srinagar for providing financial assistance and to the department of Biochemistry for the infrastructure. Director IIIM is well acknowledged for providing necessary facilities.

References

- Akizuki M, Fukutomi T, Takasugi M, et al (2007). Prognostic significance of immunoreactive neutrophil elastase in human breast cancer: long-term follow-up results in 313 patients. *Neoplasia*, **9**, 260-264.
- Barrett AJ (1981). Leukocyte elastase. *Methods Enzymol*, **80**, 581-88.
- Clemente A, Marín-Manzano CM, Jiménez E, et al (2012). The anti-proliferative effect of TI1B, a major Bowman-Birk isoinhibitor from pea (*Pisum sativum* L.), on HT29 colon cancer cells is mediated through protease inhibition. *Br J*
- Clemente A, Moreno FJ, Marín-Manzano CM, et al (2010). The cytotoxic effect of Bowman-Birk isoinhibitors, IBB1 and IBBD2, from soybean (*Glycine max*) on HT29 human colorectal cancer cells is related to their intrinsic ability to inhibit serine proteases. *Mol Nutr Food Res*, **54**, 396-405.
- de Mejia EG and Dia VP (2010). The role of nutraceutical proteins and peptides in apoptosis, angiogenesis and metastasis of cancer cells. *Cancer Metastasis Rev*, **29**, 511-28.
- Foekens JA, Ries C, Look MP, et al (2003). The prognostic value of polymorphonuclear leukocyte elastase in patients with primary breast cancer. *Cancer Res*, **63**, 337-41.
- Hossain, MS, Azad AK, Sayem SM, et al (2007). Production and partial characterization of feather-degrading keratinolytic serine protease from *Bacillus licheniformis* MZK-3. *J Boil Sci*, **7**, 599-606.
- Houghton P, Fang R, Techatanawat I, et al (2007). The sulphorhodamine (SRB) assay and other approaches to testing plant extracts and derived compounds for activities related to reputed anticancer activity. *Methods*, **42**, 377-87
- Hunt KK, Wingate H, Yokota T, et al (2013). Elafin, an inhibitor of elastase, is a prognostic indicator in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Research*, **15**.
- Jedina KA, and Maliar T (2005). Inhibitors of proteases as anticancer drugs. *Neoplasma*, **52**, 185-192.
- Kaul MK (1997). Medicinal plants of Kashmir and Ladakh: Temperate and cold Arid Himalaya" *Indus Publishing*, India **24**.
- Khan H, Subhan M, Mehmood S, et al (2008). Purification and characterization of serine protease from seeds of *Holarrhena antidysenterica*. *Biotechnology*, **7**, 94-99.
- Mageea PJ, Owusu-Apentena R, McCann MJ, et al (2012). Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) and Other Plant-Derived Protease Inhibitor Concentrates Inhibit Breast and Prostate Cancer Cell Proliferation In vitro. *Nutrition and Cancer*, **64**, 741-48
- Matej R, Mandakova P, Netíková I, et al (2007). Proteinase-Activated Receptor-2 Expression in Breast Cancer and the Role of Trypsin on Growth and Metabolism of Breast Cancer Cell Line MDA MB-231. *Physiol Res*, **56**, 475-84.
- Mittendorf EA (2012). Cellular Uptake of Neutrophil Elastase Links Inflammation to Adaptive Immunity. UT GSBS Dissertations and Theses (Open Access), **303**.
- Nyberg P, Moilanen M, Paju A, et al (2002). MMP-9 Activation by Tumor Trypsin-2 Enhances in vivo Invasion of Human Tongue Carcinoma Cells. *J Dent Res*, **81**, 831-35.
- Nyberg P, Ylipalosaari M, Sorsa T, Sal T (2006). Trypsin and their role in carcinoma growth. *Exp Cell Res*, **312**, 1219-28.
- Queen MM, Ryan RE, Holzer RG, et al (2005). Breast cancer cells stimulate neutrophils to produce oncostatin M: potential implications for tumor progression. *Cancer Res*, **65**, 8896-904
- Quemener C, Gabison EE, Naimi B et al (2007) Extracellular matrix metalloproteinase inducer up-regulates the urokinase-type plasminogen activator system promoting tumor cell invasion. *Cancer Res*, **67**, 9-15.
- Rakashanda S, Ishaq M, Masood A, Amin S (2012). Antibacterial activity of a Trypsin-chymotrypsin-elastase inhibitor isolated from *Lavatera cashmeriana* camb. seeds. *J Animal & Plant Sci*, **22**, 983-6.
- Rakashanda S, Mubashir S, Qureshi Y, et al (2013). Trypsin inhibitors from *Lavatera cashmeriana* camb. seeds: isolation, characterization and in-vitro cytotoxic activity. *Int J Pharmaceutical Science Invention*, **2**, 55-65.
- Ramachandran R, Noorbakhsh F, Defea K, Hollenberg MD (2012). Targeting proteinase-activated receptors: therapeutic

- potential and challenges. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*, **11**, 69-86.
- Rawlings ND, Morton FR and Barrett AJ (2006). MEROPS: the peptidase database. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **34**, 270-2.
- Soreide K, Janssen EA, Korner H, Baak JPA (2006). Trypsin in colorectal cancer: molecular biological mechanisms of proliferation, invasion and metastasis. *J Pathol*, **209**, 145-56.
- Tochi BN, Wang Z, Xu SY and Zhang W (2008). Therapeutic application of pineapple protease (bromelain): A review. *Pak J Nutr*, **7**, 513-20.
- Uchima Y, Sawada T, Nishihara T, et al (2003). Identification of a trypsinogen activity stimulating factor produced by pancreatic cancer cells: its role in tumor invasion and metastasis. *Int J Mol Med*, **12**, 871-78.
- Yamamoto H, Iku S, Adachi Y, et al (2003). Association of trypsin expression with tumor progression and matrilysin expression in human colorectal cancer. *J Pathol*, **199**, 176-84.
- Ye XJ, Ng TB (2011). Antitumor and HIV-1 Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitory Activities of a Hemagglutinin and a Protease Inhibitor from Mini-Black Soybean. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 1-12.