

## EVEN 2-UNIVERSAL QUADRATIC FORMS OF RANK 5

YUN-SEONG JI, MYEONG JAE KIM, AND BYEONG-KWEON OH

ABSTRACT. A (positive definite integral) quadratic form is called *even 2-universal* if it represents all even quadratic forms of rank 2. In this article, we prove that there are at most 55 even 2-universal even quadratic forms of rank 5. The proofs of even 2-universalities of some candidates will be given so that exactly 20 candidates remain unproven.

### 1. Introduction

A positive definite integral quadratic form

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}x_i x_j \quad (a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

of rank  $n$  is called *universal* if it represents all positive integers, that is, the diophantine equation  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = N$  has an integer solution for any positive integer  $N$ . After Lagrange’s celebrated four square theorem, which implies that the quaternary quadratic form  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^2$  is universal, a number of universal quaternary quadratic forms are known (see, for example, [19] and [21]). One may easily show that there does not exist a positive definite integral universal quadratic form of rank 3. In 2002, Conway and Schneeberger proved that there are exactly 204 positive definite integral universal quadratic forms of rank 4. Furthermore, they proved the so called “15-Theorem”, which states that every positive definite integral quadratic form that represents 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 14, and 15 is, in fact, universal, irrespective of its rank (see [1]). Recently, Bhargava and Hanke [2] proved the “290-Theorem”, which states that every positive definite

---

Received May 21, 2020; Accepted November 23, 2020.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11E12, 11E20.

*Key words and phrases*. Even 2-universal quadratic form.

This work of the first author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (NRF-2017R1A5A1015626) and the Ministry of Education (NRF-2019R1I1A1A01060756).

This work of the second and the third author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2019R1A2C1086347 and NRF-2020R1A5A1016126).

integer-valued quadratic form represents all positive integers if it represents

1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 21, 22, 23, 26, 29,  
30, 31, 34, 35, 37, 42, 58, 93, 110, 145, 203, and 290.

Here, a quadratic form  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is called “integer-valued”, if  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is always an integer for any integral vector  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Hence any integral quadratic form is integer-valued, whereas the converse is not true in general.

From now on, we always assume that a quadratic form is “positive definite” and “integral”.

As a natural generalization, a quadratic form is called *n-universal* if it represents all quadratic forms of rank  $n$ . In 1998, Kim and his collaborators proved in [11] that there are exactly eleven 2-universal quinary quadratic forms (for higher rank cases, see [10] and [16]). To generalize this result to the integer-valued case, we consider even quadratic forms obtained from integer-valued quadratic forms by scaling 2. A quadratic form  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is called *even* if  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is even for any vector  $\mathbf{x}$ . A quadratic form is called *even 2-universal* if it represents all even binary quadratic forms. In this article, we show that there are at most 55 even 2-universal even quinary quadratic forms. Furthermore, we prove even 2-universalities of some candidates so that exactly 20 candidates remain unproven. Even 2-universal even quinary quadratic forms and their candidates are listed in Tables 4 and 5. We conjecture that the remaining 20 candidates are also even 2-universal.

To explain more precisely, we adopt lattice-theoretic language. A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L$  is a finitely generated free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form  $B$  such that  $B(L, L) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ . The corresponding quadratic map  $Q$  is defined by  $Q(\mathbf{v}) = B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$  for any  $\mathbf{v} \in L$ .

Let  $L = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_n$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice. The quadratic form  $f_L$  corresponding to  $L$  is defined by  $f_L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum B(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)x_ix_j$ . Furthermore, the corresponding symmetric matrix  $M_L$  is defined by  $M_L = (B(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j))$ , which is called the *matrix presentation* of  $L$ . If  $L$  admits an orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$ , then we call  $L$  *diagonal* and simply write  $L = \langle Q(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, Q(\mathbf{x}_n) \rangle$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L$  is called positive definite or simply positive if  $Q(\mathbf{v}) > 0$  for any  $\mathbf{v} \in L - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . The ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  generated by  $B(L, L)$  is called *the scale of  $L$* , which is denoted by  $\mathfrak{s}(L)$ , and the ideal generated by  $Q(\mathbf{v})$  for  $\mathbf{v} \in L$  is called *the norm of  $L$* , which is denoted by  $\mathfrak{n}(L)$ . A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L$  is called *integral* if  $\mathfrak{s}(L) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ , and is called *integer-valued* if  $\mathfrak{n}(L) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ . We say  $L$  is *even* if  $\mathfrak{n}(L) \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$ . As mentioned above, we always assume that any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice is *positive definite* and *integral*, unless stated otherwise. For any positive integer  $a$ ,  $L^a$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice obtained from  $L$  by scaling  $L \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  by  $a$ . For any prime  $p$ , we define  $L_p = L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ , which is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice. We say  $L$  is a *primitive*  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice if there does not exist an integral  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice in  $L \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  properly containing  $L$ . The primitiveness of a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice  $L_p$  is defined similarly.

For a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $\ell$ , we say that  $L$  represents  $\ell$ , and we write  $\ell \longrightarrow L$ , if there is an injective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map  $\sigma$  from  $\ell$  to  $L$  such that

$$B(\sigma(\mathbf{v}), \sigma(\mathbf{w})) = B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \text{ for any } \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \ell.$$

Such a linear map  $\sigma$  is called a *representation*. If the linear map  $\sigma$  is bijective, then we say  $\ell$  is *isometric* to  $L$ , and we write  $\ell \simeq L$ . The representation and the isometry between  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices are defined similarly for any prime  $p$ . We say  $\ell$  is *locally isometric* to  $L$  if  $\ell_p \simeq L_p$  for any prime  $p$ . The *genus*  $\text{gen}(L)$  of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L$  is the set of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices which are locally isometric to  $L$ . The set of isometric classes in the genus of  $L$  is denoted by  $\text{gen}(L)/\sim$ . The *class number*  $h(L)$  of  $L$  is the number of isometric classes in the genus of  $L$ . It is well known that  $h(L)$  is finite for any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L$ . We say  $L$  is *locally (even) 2-universal* if  $L_p$  represents all (even, respectively)  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices of rank 2 for any prime  $p$ . It is well known that any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L$  that is locally (even) 2-universal and  $h(L) = 1$  is (even) 2-universal, which is called *strongly* (even, respectively) 2-universal. We say  $L$  is *almost 2-universal* if  $L$  represents almost all binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices.

For a binary quadratic form  $f(x, y) = ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2$ , we will use the notation  $f = [a, 2b, c]$ . To present a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice with rank greater than 2, we adopt the notation that is given by Conway and Sloane in [4] (see also [5]).

Any unexplained notation and terminology can be found in [15] or [18].

## 2. Even 2-universal even $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices of rank 5

The aim of this section is to find all candidates of even 2-universal even  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices of rank 5. Throughout this section, quinary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices with  $*$ -mark are not yet determined to be even 2-universal and quinary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices with  $\dagger$ -mark are of class number bigger than 1. Let  $L = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_5$  be an even 2-universal  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of rank 5, which is not necessarily even. If we define

$$L(e) = \{\mathbf{v} \in L : Q(\mathbf{v}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\},$$

then one may easily show that  $L(e)$  is an even  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sublattice of  $L$ . Furthermore, any even  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice that is represented by  $L$  is also represented by  $L(e)$ . Hence  $L(e)$  is also even 2-universal. Therefore, in some sense, it suffices to find all candidates of even 2-universal even quinary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices.

A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sublattice of  $L$  generated by vectors  $\mathbf{v} \in L$  such that  $Q(\mathbf{v}) = 2$  is denoted by  $R_L$ . Note that  $R_L$  is isometric to an orthogonal direct sum of root lattices  $A_n$  and  $D_m$  for some integers  $n$  and  $m$  less than or equal to 5.

To find all candidates of even 2-universal even quinary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices, we will use, so called, the escalation method. We assume that  $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^5$  is a Minkowski reduced basis for  $L$  such that  $Q(\mathbf{x}_1) \leq Q(\mathbf{x}_2) \leq \cdots \leq Q(\mathbf{x}_5)$ . For  $k \leq 4$ , we find an even binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice that is not represented by a  $k \times k$  section  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_k$  of  $L$ , though it is represented by  $L$  itself by assumption. The following lemma is very useful to give an upper bound of the  $(k+1)$ -th successive minimum  $m_{k+1}(L)$  of  $L$ . For the definition of the successive minimum and its basic property, see Chapter 12 of [3].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\ell$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of rank  $n$  and let  $M = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_m$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of rank  $m$  greater than  $n$ , where  $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^m$  is a Minkowski reduced basis such that  $Q(\mathbf{x}_1) \leq Q(\mathbf{x}_2) \leq \cdots \leq Q(\mathbf{x}_m)$ . If  $\ell$  is represented by  $M$ , but is not represented by the  $k \times k$  section  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_k$  of  $M$ , then*

$$m_{k+1}(M) \leq \begin{cases} m_n(\ell) & \text{if } n \geq k+1, \\ C_4(k)C_4(k-1) \cdots C_4(n)m_n(\ell) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the constant  $C_4(k)$ , which is defined in [3], depends only on  $k$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $n \geq k+1$ . Since  $\ell \rightarrow M$ ,  $m_{k+1}(M) \leq m_{k+1}(\ell) \leq m_n(\ell)$ .

Now, assume that  $n \leq k$ . Let  $\phi : \ell \rightarrow M$  be a representation and let  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{y}_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{y}_n$  be a sublattice of  $\phi(\ell)$  such that  $Q(\mathbf{y}_i) = m_i(\ell)$  for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . From the assumption, there is an integer  $j_0$  such that  $\mathbf{y}_{j_0} \notin \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_k$ . Hence for any  $j$  such that  $n \leq j \leq k$ ,

$$m_{j+1}(M) \leq \max\{Q(\mathbf{x}_j), Q(\mathbf{y}_{j_0})\} \leq \max\{Q(\mathbf{x}_j), m_n(\ell)\}.$$

Note that there is a constant depending only on  $j$  such that

$$Q(\mathbf{x}_j) \leq C_4(j)m_j(M).$$

Since  $m_n(M) \leq m_n(\ell)$  and  $C_4(n) \geq 1$ ,

$$m_{n+1}(M) \leq \max\{C_4(n)m_n(M), m_n(\ell)\} \leq C_4(n)m_n(\ell).$$

Now the lemma follows from the induction.  $\square$

*Remark 2.2.* Note that  $C_4(k) = 1$  for any  $k$  less than or equal to 4 and  $C_4(5) = \frac{5}{4}$  (for this, see [20]). Therefore, if  $n = 2 < k \leq 4$ , then we have  $m_{k+1}(M) \leq m_2(\ell)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $L = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_5$  be an even  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of rank 5, where  $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^5$  is a Minkowski reduced basis such that  $Q(\mathbf{x}_1) \leq Q(\mathbf{x}_2) \leq \cdots \leq Q(\mathbf{x}_5)$ . If  $m_5(L) \leq 6$ , then  $Q(\mathbf{x}_i) = m_i(L)$  for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $m_i(L) \leq Q(\mathbf{x}_i) \leq C_4(i)m_i(L)$  (see Theorem 3.1 of Chapter 12 in [3]). Since we are assuming that  $L$  is even, and  $C_4(i) = 1$  for any  $i \leq 4$ ,  $C_4(5) = \frac{5}{4}$ , we have  $Q(\mathbf{x}_i) = m_i(L)$  for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *For any even 2-universal even  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L$  of rank 5, we have*

$$m_1(L) = m_2(L) = m_3(L) = 2, \quad 2 \leq m_4(L) \leq 4, \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \leq m_5(L) \leq 6.$$

*Furthermore, there are at most 55 even 2-universal even  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices of rank 5, which are listed in Tables 4 and 5.*

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be an even 2-universal even  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of rank 5. Since  $A_1 \perp A_1 \rightarrow R_L$  and  $A_2 \rightarrow R_L$ , the rank of  $R_L$  should be greater than 2. If the rank of  $R_L$  is 3, then  $R_L$  must be isometric to either  $A_3$  or  $A_1 \perp A_2$ .

First, assume that  $R_L \simeq A_3$ . Since  $[2, 2, 4] \dashrightarrow A_3$ ,  $m_4(L) = 4$  by Lemma 2.1. Note that any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $M$  of rank 4 containing  $A_3$  with  $m_4(M) = 4$  is isometric to one of

$$A_3 \perp \langle 4 \rangle, \quad A_3 52[1\frac{1}{4}], \quad \text{and} \quad A_3 12[2\frac{1}{2}].$$

For each quaternary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice given above, since

$$[2, 2, 4] \dashrightarrow A_3 \perp \langle 4 \rangle, \quad [4, 4, 4] \dashrightarrow A_3 52[1\frac{1}{4}], \quad \text{and} \quad [4, 2, 4] \dashrightarrow A_3 12[2\frac{1}{2}],$$

we may conclude that  $m_5(L) = 4$  by Lemma 2.1. Therefore, after a suitable base change, one may easily show, by Lemma 2.3, that all possible candidates of  $L$  in this case are of the form

$$(ij, kl, a) := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & i & k \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & j & l \\ 0 & i & j & 4 & a \\ 0 & k & l & a & 4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $(i, j), (k, l) = (0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0)$  and  $a = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$ . Since  $(ij, kl, a) \simeq (kl, ij, a)$ , there are only 30 possible candidates in this case, which is listed in Table 1. Each binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice in the right hand side of Table 1 is not

TABLE 1. The case when  $R_L \simeq A_3$

$L = (ij, kl, a)$	
$(00, 00, a), a = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$	$[2, 2, 4]$
$(00, 01, a), a = 0, \pm 1, \quad (01, 01, b), b = 0, 1$	$[4, 4, 4]$
$(00, 10, 0)$	$[4, 2, 4]$
$(10, 10, 1)$	$[6, 6, 10]$
$(01, 01, -2)$	$R_L \simeq D_4$
$(01, 10, 2)$	$R_L \simeq A_4$
$(10, 10, -1)$	$R_L \simeq A_1 \perp A_3$
$(10, 10, -2)$	$dL = 0$
$A_3(4^0 8)[2\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}] \simeq (00, 10, \pm 2) \simeq (10, 10, a), a = 0, 2$	Strongly even 2-universal
$A_3(10^0 12)[1\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4}] \simeq (01, 01, -1) \simeq (01, 10, a), a = 1, -2$	
$A_3(4^0 36)[1\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4}]^\dagger \simeq (00, 01, \pm 2) \simeq (01, 01, 2)$	Even 2-universal
$A_3(4^2 12)[20\frac{1}{2}]^* \simeq (00, 10, \pm 1)$	Candidates
$A_3(12^{-4} 14)[1\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2}]^* \simeq (01, 10, 0) \simeq (01, 10, -1)$	

represented by all of quinary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices in the corresponding left hand side.

Note that the root sublattices of some candidates are not isometric to  $A_3$ . The proof of even 2-universality of  $A_3(4^036)[1\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4}]$  is given in Corollary 3.5.

Now, assume that  $R_L \simeq A_1 \perp A_2$ . Since  $[2, 0, 4] \twoheadrightarrow A_1 \perp A_2$ , we have  $m_4(L) = 4$  by Lemma 2.1. Note that any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $M$  of rank 4 containing  $A_1 \perp A_2$  with  $m_4(M) = 4$  is isometric to one of

$$A_1 \perp A_2 \perp \langle 4 \rangle, \quad A_1 \perp A_2 30[1\frac{1}{3}], \quad A_1 14[1\frac{1}{2}] \perp A_2, \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 A_2 102[11\frac{1}{6}].$$

One may easily check that

$$[4, 4, 4] \twoheadrightarrow A_1 \perp A_2 \perp \langle 4 \rangle, \quad [4, 4, 4] \twoheadrightarrow A_1 \perp A_2 30[1\frac{1}{3}],$$

$$[4, 4, 4] \twoheadrightarrow A_1 14[1\frac{1}{2}] \perp A_2, \quad \text{and} \quad [6, 0, 6] \twoheadrightarrow A_1 A_2 102[11\frac{1}{6}].$$

Therefore, for the first three cases, we have  $m_5(L) = 4$ , and in the last case, we have  $m_5(L) \leq 6$ . Since each case can be done in a similar manner, we only consider the last case. Note that there are only 3 new candidates of even 2-universal  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices in the first three cases, which are  $A_1 \perp A_2(4^222)[1\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3}]$ ,  $A_1 \perp A_2(10^510)[1\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3}]$ , and  $A_2 \perp A_1(4^010)[1\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}]$ . The first two quinary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices are, in fact, even 2-universal. The proof of even 2-universality of the first (second) one is given in Corollary 3.14 (Theorem 3.8, respectively). The third one is a candidate.

In the last case, one may easily show that all possible candidates are the followings:

$$(ij, a, 4) := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & i \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & j \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 & a \\ i & 0 & j & a & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad (kl, b, 6) := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & k \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & l \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 & b \\ k & 0 & l & b & 6 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a, b = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$  and  $i, j, k, l = 0, 1$ . As given in Table 2, there are exactly two strongly even 2-universal  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices and 13 candidates up to isometry in this case.

Now, assume that the rank of  $R_L$  is 4. Then  $R_L$  is isometric to one of

$$A_4, \quad D_4, \quad A_1 \perp A_3, \quad A_2 \perp A_2, \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2.$$

One may easily check that

$$[4, 4, 4] \twoheadrightarrow A_4, \quad [2, 2, 4] \twoheadrightarrow D_4, \quad [6, 0, 6] \twoheadrightarrow A_1 \perp A_3,$$

$$[2, 0, 4] \twoheadrightarrow A_2 \perp A_2, \quad \text{and} \quad [4, 4, 6] \twoheadrightarrow A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2.$$

Therefore,  $m_5(L) \leq 6$  in all cases. If  $R_L \simeq A_4$ , then one may easily show that all possible candidates are

$$A_4 \perp \langle 4 \rangle, \quad A_4 80[1\frac{1}{5}], \quad \text{and} \quad A_4 70[2\frac{1}{5}].$$

The first two quinary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices do not represent  $[4, 4, 4]$  and the third one is strongly even 2-universal. If  $R_L \simeq D_4$ , then all possible candidates are  $D_4 12[2\frac{1}{2}]$  and  $D_4 \perp \langle 4 \rangle$ . Note that the former is strongly even 2-universal and

TABLE 2. The case when  $R_L \simeq A_1 \perp A_2$ 

$L = (ij, a, 4)$ or $(kl, b, 6)$	
$(01, 0, 4) \simeq (11, -1, 6), (01, 1, 6)$	$[6, 0, 6]$
$(01, 2, 6) \simeq (10, 2, 6) \simeq (10, -1, 6)$	$[10, 10, 10]$
$(10, -2, 4) \simeq (11, -2, 6), (11, -1, 4)$	$R_L \simeq A_1 \perp A_3$
$(01, -2, 4)$	$R_L \simeq A_4$
$(11, -2, 4)$	$dL < 0$
$A_1 A_2(16^4 22)[11 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{6}] \simeq (01, 1, 4) \simeq (10, -2, 6),$ $A_1 A_2(8^0 30)[11 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6}] \simeq (11, 0, 4) \simeq (01, -1, 4)$	Strongly even 2-universal
$A_1 A_2 102[11 \frac{1}{6}] \perp \langle 4 \rangle^* \simeq (00, 0, 4),$ $A_1 A_2(4^{-2} 94)[11 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{6}]^* \simeq (00, 1, 4) \simeq (00, -1, 4),$ $A_1 A_2(4^0 66)[11 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6}]^* \simeq (00, 2, 4) \simeq (00, -2, 4) \simeq (11, 2, 4),$ $A_1 A_2(14^0 20)[11 \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{3}]^* \simeq (01, 2, 4) \simeq (10, 2, 4) \simeq (10, -1, 4),$ $A_1 A_2(14^{-4} 26)[11 \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{3}]^* \simeq (10, 0, 4) \simeq (10, 1, 4),$ $A_1 A_2(6^0 48)[11 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6}]^* \simeq (11, 1, 4) \simeq (01, -2, 6),$ $A_1 A_2 102[11 \frac{1}{6}] \perp \langle 6 \rangle^* \simeq (00, 0, 6),$ $A_1 A_2(6^0 96)[11 \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{6}]^* \simeq (00, 1, 6) \simeq (00, -1, 6),$ $A_1 A_2(6^0 78)[11 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{6}]^* \simeq (00, 2, 6) \simeq (00, -2, 6) \simeq (11, 2, 6),$ $A_1 A_2(14^2 38)[11 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{6}]^* \simeq (01, 0, 6),$ $A_1 A_2(10^4 46)[11 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{6}]^* \simeq (01, -1, 6) \simeq (11, 0, 6),$ $A_1 A_2(22^{-8} 28)[11 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{6}]^* \simeq (10, 0, 6) \simeq (10, 1, 6),$ $A_1 A_2(8^2 62)[11 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{6}]^* \simeq (11, 1, 6)$	Candidates

the latter does not represent  $[2, 2, 4]$ . Assume that  $R_L \simeq A_1 \perp A_3$ . In this case, all possible candidates are

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_1 \perp A_3 \perp \langle 4 \rangle, \quad A_1 \perp A_3 52[1 \frac{1}{4}]^\dagger, \quad A_1 \perp A_3 \perp \langle 6 \rangle^\dagger, \quad A_1 \perp A_3 84[1 \frac{1}{4}]^*, \\
& A_1 \perp A_3 12[2 \frac{1}{2}], \quad A_3 \perp A_1 14[1 \frac{1}{2}]^\dagger, \quad A_1 \perp A_3 20[2 \frac{1}{2}]^\dagger, \quad A_3 \perp A_1 22[1 \frac{1}{2}]^\dagger, \\
& A_1 A_3 44[11 \frac{1}{4}], \quad A_1 A_3 10[12 \frac{1}{2}], \quad A_1 A_3 76[11 \frac{1}{4}]^*, \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 A_3 18[12 \frac{1}{2}].
\end{aligned}$$

Among them, one may easily check that

$$A_1 \perp A_3 \perp \langle 4 \rangle, \quad A_1 \perp A_3 12[2 \frac{1}{2}], \quad A_1 A_3 44[11 \frac{1}{4}], \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 A_3 10[12 \frac{1}{2}]$$

are strongly even 2-universal  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices. It is known that  $L = I_3 \perp A_1 \perp \langle 5 \rangle$  is almost 2-universal, that is,  $L$  represents all binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices except  $[3, 0, 3]$  (see [7]). Hence the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L(e) = A_1 \perp A_3 20[2\frac{1}{2}]$  is even 2-universal. Note that  $[6, 0, 6] \not\rightarrow A_1 A_3 18[12\frac{1}{2}]$ . The even 2-universalities of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices  $A_3 \perp A_1 14[1\frac{1}{2}]$  and  $A_1 \perp A_3 \perp \langle 6 \rangle$  are proved in [12]. the even 2-universality of  $A_1 \perp A_3 52[1\frac{1}{4}]$  ( $A_3 \perp A_1 22[1\frac{1}{2}]$ ) will be proved in Theorem 3.17 (Corollary 3.12, respectively). If  $R_L \simeq A_2 \perp A_2$ , then all possible candidates are

$$A_2 \perp A_2 \perp \langle 4 \rangle, \quad A_2 \perp A_2 30[1\frac{1}{3}]^\dagger, \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 A_2 24[11\frac{1}{3}].$$

The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $A_2 A_2 24[11\frac{1}{3}]$  is strongly even 2-universal and one may easily check that  $[6, 2, 6] \rightarrow A_2 \perp A_2 \perp \langle 4 \rangle$ . The even 2-universality of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $A_2 \perp A_2 30[1\frac{1}{3}]$ , which has class number two, will be proved in Theorem 3.2. Finally, if  $R_L \simeq A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2$ , then one may easily check that all possible candidates are

$$\begin{aligned} &A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2 \perp \langle 4 \rangle^\dagger, \quad A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2 30[1\frac{1}{3}]^\dagger, \quad A_1 \perp A_2 \perp A_1 14[1\frac{1}{2}]^\dagger, \\ &A_1 \perp A_1 A_2 102[11\frac{1}{6}]^\dagger, \quad A_1 A_1 A_2 84[111\frac{1}{6}], \quad A_2 \perp A_1 A_1 12[11\frac{1}{2}], \\ &A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2 \perp \langle 6 \rangle, \quad A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2 48[1\frac{1}{3}], \quad A_1 \perp A_2 \perp A_1 22[1\frac{1}{2}], \\ &A_1 \perp A_1 A_2 174[11\frac{1}{6}]^*, \quad A_1 A_1 A_2 156[111\frac{1}{6}]^*, \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 \perp A_1 A_1 20[11\frac{1}{2}]^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

Among them, both  $A_1 A_1 A_2 84[111\frac{1}{6}]$  and  $A_2 \perp A_1 A_1 12[11\frac{1}{2}]$  are strongly even 2-universal. One may easily check that none of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices

$$A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2 \perp \langle 6 \rangle, \quad A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2 48[1\frac{1}{3}], \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 \perp A_2 \perp A_1 22[1\frac{1}{2}]$$

represent  $[4, 4, 6]$ . The proof of the even 2-universality of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2 \perp \langle 4 \rangle$  is given in [12]. The even 2-universalities of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices

$$\begin{aligned} &A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2 30[1\frac{1}{3}], \quad A_1 \perp A_2 \perp A_1 14[1\frac{1}{2}], \\ &A_1 \perp A_1 A_2 102[11\frac{1}{6}], \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 \perp A_1 A_1 20[11\frac{1}{2}] \end{aligned}$$

will be proved in Theorems 3.15, 3.16, 3.18 and Corollary 3.10, respectively.

Finally, if the rank of  $R_L$  is 5, then  $R_L$  is isometric to one of

$$\begin{aligned} &A_5, \quad D_5, \quad A_1 \perp D_4, \quad A_1 \perp A_4, \quad A_2 \perp A_3, \\ &A_1 \perp A_2 \perp A_2, \quad A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2^\dagger, \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_3. \end{aligned}$$

All  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices except  $A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2$  are strongly even 2-universal. The proof of the even 2-universality of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2$  is given in [12]. This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. The proofs

In this section, we prove the even 2-universalities of some candidates which are given in the previous section. To do this, we introduce various method on the representations of binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices. In particular, we modify the method mainly developed in [9], [11], and [12].



**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\ell$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sublattice of  $E_8$  with rank 6 such that  $\ell_2$  is isometric to none of the followings:*

$$\begin{aligned} [2, 2, 2] \perp [2, 2, 2] \perp [4, 4, 4], \\ [0, 2, 0] \perp [4, 4, 4] \perp [0, 4, 0], \\ [4, 4, 4] \perp [4, 4, 4] \perp [4, 4, 4]. \end{aligned}$$

*Then  $\ell$  is represented by  $E_7 \perp A_1$ .*

*Proof.* Since the class number of  $E_7 \perp A_1$  is one, and  $(E_7 \perp A_1)_p \simeq (E_8)_p$  for any odd prime  $p$ , it is sufficient to show that  $\ell_2 \rightarrow (E_7 \perp A_1)_2$ . One may easily check by using Theorem 3 of [17] that if  $\ell_2$  is isometric to none of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -lattices given above,  $\ell_2$  is represented by  $(E_7 \perp A_1)_2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *The quinary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $A_2 \perp A_2 30[1\frac{1}{3}]$  is even 2-universal.*

*Proof.* Let  $\ell$  be any even  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of rank 2. Since any orthogonal complement of  $A_4$  in  $E_7$  is isometric to  $A_2 30[1\frac{1}{3}]$ , it suffices to show that  $L = A_4 \perp \ell \rightarrow E_7 \perp A_2$ . We know

$$\text{gen}(E_7 \perp A_2)/\sim = \{E_7 \perp A_2, E_8 \perp \langle 6 \rangle\}.$$

Since any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of rank 6 is locally represented by  $E_7 \perp A_2$ ,  $L = A_4 \perp \ell$  is represented by  $E_7 \perp A_2$  or  $E_8 \perp \langle 6 \rangle$ . Assume that there is a representation  $\phi : L = A_4 \perp \ell \mapsto E_8 \perp \langle 6 \rangle$ . Then  $\phi(L) \cap E_8 \simeq A_4 \perp \phi(\ell) \cap E_8 \rightarrow E_8$ . Since  $(A_4)_2 \simeq [0, 2, 0] \perp [2, 2, 2]$ , we have  $\phi(L) \cap E_8 \rightarrow E_7 \perp A_1$  by Lemma 3.1. Therefore, we have  $L \rightarrow E_7 \perp A_1 \perp \langle 6 \rangle \rightarrow E_7 \perp A_2$ , as desired.  $\square$

Let  $I_n$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of rank  $n$  whose corresponding symmetric matrix is the identity matrix.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\ell$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of rank 1 or 2 that is represented by  $I_3$ . Then for any odd prime  $p$ ,*

$$r(p\ell, I_3) - r(\ell, I_3) > 0.$$

*Proof.* Since the class number of  $I_3$  is one, one may easily check by using the Minkowski-Siegel formula that

$$\frac{r(p\ell, I_3)}{r(\ell, I_3)} = \frac{\alpha_p(p\ell, I_3)}{\alpha_p(\ell, I_3)},$$

where  $\alpha_p(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the local density over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Hence it suffices to show that the right hand side is greater than 1. For the proof of the case when  $\ell$  is unary, see [13]. The proof of the binary case is quite similar to that of the unary case. For the computation of the local density  $\alpha_p(\ell, I_3)$  in the case when  $\ell$  is a binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice, see [14].  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *The quinary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice*

$$L = I_1 \perp A_3 36[1\frac{1}{4}] = \langle 1 \rangle \perp \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

represents all binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices except  $[1, 0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Since

$$\{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in I_4 : \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} \simeq A_3 36[1\frac{1}{4}] = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

we may assume that

$$L = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_5) \in I_5 : \sum_{i=2}^5 x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\}.$$

Let  $\ell = [a, 2b, c]$  be a binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice. Since  $I_5$  is 2-universal, there are two vectors  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_5), \mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_5) \in I_5$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x} + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{y} \simeq [a, 2b, c]$ . Let  $E$  be the set of vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^5$  whose coordinates are either 1 or  $-1$ . Note that for any  $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_5) \in E$ ,  $\ell_{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbb{Z}(e_1 x_1, \dots, e_5 x_5) + \mathbb{Z}(e_1 y_1, \dots, e_5 y_5) \simeq \ell$ . If there are a subset  $\{i_1, \dots, i_4\} \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  and a vector  $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_5) \in E$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^4 e_{i_k} x_{i_k} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^4 e_{i_k} y_{i_k} \equiv 0 \pmod{3},$$

then  $\ell$  is represented by  $L$  from the above observation. Note that for any  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} -x \\ -y \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{3}.$$

Let  $a_i$  be the number of vectors  $(x_k, y_k)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 5$  satisfying the  $i$ -th congruence condition in Equation (3.2). If  $a_1 = 4$ , then clearly,  $\mathbf{e} = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in E$  satisfies Equation (3.1). If  $a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 \geq 3$ , then one may easily show that there is a vector  $\mathbf{e} \in E$  satisfying Equation (3.1). For example, if  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 1$ , then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{3},$$

and if  $a_2 = 1, a_3 = 2, a_4 = 1$ , then

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{3}.$$

Hence we may assume that  $a_1 = 3$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ , and  $(x_3, y_3)$  satisfy the first congruence condition in Equation (3.2). If at least one vector among  $(x_i, y_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  is a nonzero vector, then by Lemma 3.3, there are integers  $\tilde{x}_i$ 's and  $\tilde{y}_i$ 's such that

$$\mathbb{Z}(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \mathbb{Z}(y_1, y_2, y_3) \simeq \mathbb{Z}(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_3) + \mathbb{Z}(\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2, \tilde{y}_3),$$

and at least one among  $\tilde{x}_i$ 's and  $\tilde{y}_i$ 's is not divisible by 3. Therefore, there is a vector  $\mathbf{e} \in E$  satisfying Equation (3.1) if we choose a basis for  $\ell$  such that

$$\ell = \mathbb{Z}(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_3, x_4, x_5) + \mathbb{Z}(\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2, \tilde{y}_3, y_4, y_5).$$

Summing up, if  $\ell$  is a sum of at least 3 nonzero squares in the sense of [8], then  $\ell$  is represented by  $L$ . Note that any binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice except  $[1, 0, 1]$  is a sum of at least 3 nonzero squares by [8]. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L = A_3(4^0 36)[1 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4}]$  is even 2-universal.*

*Proof.* Note that

$$L \simeq \{\mathbf{x} \in \langle 1 \rangle \perp A_3 36[1 \frac{1}{4}] : Q(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}.$$

The corollary follows directly from this.  $\square$

As far as the authors know, there is no known general method on finding all binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices that are represented by an arbitrary quinary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice. However, in some very special case, there is a method to do this, which is developed in [11] and [12]. To apply this method to find some even 2-universal quinary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices, we explain this method a little bit more precisely. Let  $\ell = [a, 2b, c]$  be a binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice. For any integers  $s, t, u$ , we define

$$\ell_{s,t}(u) := \begin{pmatrix} a - us^2 & b - ust \\ b - ust & c - ut^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $M$  be a quaternary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice with class number one and  $L = M \perp \langle u \rangle$  for some positive integer  $u$ . To determine whether or not a binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $\ell$  is represented by  $L$ , we try to find integers  $s, t$  such that  $\ell_{s,t}(u) \longrightarrow M$ . Since we are assuming the class number of  $M$  is one, it suffices to find integers  $s, t$  such that  $(\ell_{s,t}(u))_p \longrightarrow M_p$  for any prime  $p$ , and  $\ell_{s,t}(u)$  is positive definite by the local-global principle. If  $p$  is odd and  $dM$  is a square unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , then  $M_p$  is 2-universal over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Assume that  $p$  is odd and  $dM$  is a nonsquare unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then  $M_p$  represents all binary  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices that represent a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Hence if we choose integers  $s, t$  such that  $\gcd(a - us^2, b - ust)$  has no odd prime factors  $p$  such that  $dM$  is a nonsquare unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(u))_p \longrightarrow M_p$  for any prime  $p$  not dividing  $2dM$ . Note that if the discriminant of a quaternary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $M$  is not a square of an integer, then such primes exist infinitely many. The following lemma will be used to choose suitable integers  $s, t$  in this situation.

**Lemma 3.6.** *For  $k \geq 2$ , let  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_k$  be primes and let  $d$  be an integer satisfying  $\gcd(d, p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k) = 1$ . If  $n \geq \frac{p_1 + k - 1}{p_1 - 1} 2^k$ , then there is a*

number in the set  $\{a, a+d, \dots, a+(n-1)d\}$  that is relatively prime to  $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k$  for any integer  $a$ .

*Proof.* See [11].  $\square$

If  $p$  is a prime dividing  $u$  and  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \subseteq p\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $p$  divides  $\gcd(a - us^2, b - ust)$  for any integers  $s, t$ . For this difficulty, we consider this case separately.

For each prime  $p$  dividing  $2dM$ , we find a suitable condition on  $s, t$  such that  $(\ell_{s,t}(u))_p \rightarrow M_p$ . Then we may choose integers  $s, t$  suitably so that  $(\ell_{s,t}(u))_p \rightarrow M_p$  for any prime  $p \mid 2dM$  by using Chinese Remainder Theorem. The following lemma shows that  $\ell_{s,t}(u)$  is positive definite if  $a$  is sufficiently large.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $\ell = [a, 2b, c]$  be a Minkowski reduced binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice, that is,  $2|b| \leq a \leq c$ . If  $a > \frac{4}{3}u(s^2 + |st| + t^2)$ , then  $\ell_{s,t}(u)$  is positive definite.*

*Proof.* Since  $a - us^2 > 0$  by assumption, it suffices to show that the discriminant of  $\ell_{s,t}(u)$  is positive. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\ell_{s,t}(u)) &= ac - b^2 - us^2c + 2ustb - ut^2a \\ &= \frac{1}{4}ac - b^2 + \frac{3}{4}ac - u(s^2c - 2stb + t^2a) \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4}ac - u(s^2c + |st|c + t^2c) \\ &= \frac{3}{4}c(a - \frac{4}{3}u(s^2 + |st| + t^2)) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** *The quinary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L = A_1 \perp A_2(10^5 10)[1 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3}]$  is even 2-universal.*

*Proof.* Note that the quaternary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sublattice

$$M = A_2(10^5 10)[1 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3}] \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

of  $L$  has class number one, and  $dM = 5^2$ . Let  $\ell = [a, 2b, c]$  be any even binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice such that  $0 \leq 2b \leq a \leq c$ . We further assume that  $\ell_5$  is a primitive  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ -lattice. Note that  $\ell \rightarrow M \perp \langle 2 \rangle = L$  if and only if  $\ell_{s,t}(2) \rightarrow M$  for some integers  $s, t$ .

Since  $M_2 \simeq [0, 2, 0] \perp [0, 2, 0]$  and  $dM = 5^2$ ,  $M_p$  is even 2-universal over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for any prime  $p \neq 5$ . Furthermore, since the class number of  $M$  is one, we have  $\ell \rightarrow M$  if and only if  $\ell_5 \rightarrow M_5$ .

First, assume that  $\ell_5$  represents a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ . Since we are assuming that  $\ell_5$  is primitive,  $\text{ord}_5(d\ell) = 0$  or  $1$ . We first consider the case when  $a \geq 12$ . From the fact that  $M_5 \simeq \langle 1, 2, 5, 10 \rangle$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ , we may easily verify the followings:

- If  $\text{ord}_5(d\ell) = 1$ , then  $\ell \rightarrow M$ .
- If  $d\ell \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$ , then  $\ell \rightarrow M$ .
- If  $d\ell \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$  and  $5 \nmid a$ , then  $\ell_{0,1}(2) \rightarrow M$  or  $\ell_{0,2}(2) \rightarrow M$ .
- If  $d\ell \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$  and  $5 \nmid c$ , then  $\ell_{1,0}(2) \rightarrow M$  or  $\ell_{2,0}(2) \rightarrow M$ .
- If  $5 \mid a, c$  and  $5 \nmid b$ , then  $\ell_{1,1}(2) \rightarrow M$  or  $\ell_{1,-1}(2) \rightarrow M$ .

Therefore,  $\ell$  is represented by  $L = M \perp \langle 2 \rangle$ . Assume that  $a \leq 11$ . Since other cases can be done in a similar manner, we only consider the case when  $a = 3$ . Then  $\ell = [3, 0, c]$  or  $\ell = [3, 2, c]$ . In the former case, we have

$$\begin{cases} \ell \rightarrow M & \text{if } c \not\equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}, \\ \ell_{1,0}(2) \rightarrow M & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,  $\ell$  is represented by  $L$ . In the latter case, we have

$$\begin{cases} \ell \rightarrow M & \text{if } c \not\equiv 0, 4 \pmod{5}, \\ \ell_{0,1}(2) \rightarrow M & \text{if } c \equiv 0 \pmod{5}, \\ \ell_{1,0}(2) \rightarrow M & \text{if } c \equiv 4 \pmod{5}. \end{cases}$$

Now, assume that  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \subseteq 5\mathbb{Z}$ . Since the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $A_1 \perp A_4$  is *strongly* even 2-universal,  $\ell^{\frac{1}{5}} \rightarrow A_1 \perp A_4$ . Therefore, we have

$$\ell \rightarrow (A_1 \perp A_4)^5 \rightarrow L.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *The quinary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L = I_2 \perp A_2 \perp \langle 5 \rangle = [1, 0, 1] \perp [2, 2, 2] \perp \langle 5 \rangle$  represents all binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices except  $[2, 0, 3]$ ,  $[5, 2, 5]$ ,  $[5, 4, 5]$ , and  $[5, 2, 11]$ .*

*Proof.* Note that the quaternary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sublattice  $M = [1, 0, 1] \perp [2, 2, 2]$  of  $L$  has class number one. Let  $\ell = [a, 2b, c]$  be any binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice such that  $0 \leq 2b \leq a \leq c$ . Note that  $\ell \rightarrow M \perp \langle 5 \rangle$  if and only if  $\ell_{s,t}(5) \rightarrow M$  for some integers  $s, t$ .

If  $a \leq 21$ , then one may directly show that  $\ell = [a, 2b, c] \rightarrow M \perp \langle 5 \rangle$ . As a sample, we consider the case when  $a = 5, b = 1$ . For a binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $\ell = [5, 2, c]$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \ell \rightarrow M & \text{if } c \not\equiv 1, 5, 6 \pmod{8} \text{ and } c \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \ell_{0,1}(5) \rightarrow M \text{ or } \ell_{0,3}(5) \rightarrow M & \text{if } c \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } c > 45, \\ \ell_{0,2}(5) \rightarrow M \text{ or } \ell_{0,6}(5) \rightarrow M & \text{if } c \equiv 6 \pmod{8} \text{ and } c > 180, \\ \ell_{0,1}(5) \rightarrow M \text{ or } \ell_{0,2}(5) \rightarrow M & \text{if } c \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ and } c > 21. \end{cases}$$

By a direct calculation for any small integer  $c$ , one may conclude that

$$[5, 2, c] \rightarrow M \perp \langle 5 \rangle \quad \text{for any } c \neq 5, 11.$$

For  $a \leq 21$ , we may verify that  $\ell \rightarrow L$  except

$$(3.3) \quad [2, 0, 3], [5, 2, 5], [5, 4, 5], \text{ and } [5, 2, 11].$$

From now on, we assume that  $a \geq 22$  and for each prime  $p \in \{2, 5\}$ ,  $\ell_p$  is a primitive  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice. Note that  $\ell_5$  is a primitive  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ -lattice if and only if

$$\text{ord}_5(d\ell_5) \leq 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \ell_5 \simeq \langle 5, -\Delta_5 5 \rangle,$$

where  $\Delta_5$  is a nonsquare unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_5^\times$ .

First, assume further that  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \not\subseteq 5\mathbb{Z}$ . Note that

$$M_2 \simeq \langle 1, 3, 3, 3 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad M_3 \simeq \langle 1, 1, 2, 6 \rangle.$$

By checking the local structures of  $\ell_{s,t}(5)$ ,  $M$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , we obtain the following properties.

- If  $a \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  or  $c \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , then for any  $s, t$ ,  $(\ell_{s,t}(5))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $a \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ ,  $2 \mid s$  or  $c \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ ,  $2 \mid t$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(5))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $2 \mid b$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(5))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $c \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $2 \mid b$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(5))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $(a, b, c) \equiv (0, 1, 0) \pmod{2}$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (0, 0) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(5))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $(a, b, c) \equiv (1, 1, 0) \pmod{2}$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (1, 0) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(5))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $(a, b, c) \equiv (0, 1, 1) \pmod{2}$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (0, 1) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(5))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $3 \mid ac$  and  $3 \nmid st$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(5))_3 \rightarrow M_3$ .
- If  $(a, b, c) \equiv (1, 0, 2), (2, 0, 1), (2, 0, 2) \pmod{3}$  and  $3 \nmid st$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(5))_3 \rightarrow M_3$ .
- If  $(a, b, c) \equiv (1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 1) \pmod{3}$  and  $st \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(5))_3 \rightarrow M_3$ .
- If  $(a, b, c) \equiv (2, 1, 1), (2, 1, 2) \pmod{3}$  and  $st \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(5))_3 \rightarrow M_3$ .
- If  $(a, b, c) \equiv (1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 2) \pmod{3}$  and  $st \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(5))_3 \rightarrow M_3$ .
- If  $(a, b, c) \equiv (2, 2, 1), (2, 2, 2) \pmod{3}$  and  $st \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(5))_3 \rightarrow M_3$ .
- If  $(a, b, c) \equiv (1, 0, 1) \pmod{3}$  and  $st \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(5))_3 \rightarrow M_3$ .

Since we are assuming that  $\ell_2$  is primitive,  $\ell$  satisfies one of the first seven cases given above. Note that if

$$a \equiv b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \quad \text{or} \quad a \equiv b \equiv c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad a \equiv c \pmod{4},$$

then  $\ell_2$  is not primitive. For any case, one may easily check that there are  $s \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, 5\}$  such that  $\ell_{s,t'}$  is represented by  $M$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  simultaneously, for any  $t'$  such that  $t' \equiv t \pmod{6}$ . Since other cases can be done in a similar manner, we only consider the case when  $\ell_{2,-1}(5) \rightarrow M$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{P} = \{5, 7, 17, 19, 29, 31, \dots\}$  be the set of primes  $p$  such that  $(\frac{dM}{p}) = -1$ . From the assumption that  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \not\subseteq 5\mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $(\ell_{2,t}(5))_5 \rightarrow M_5 = \langle 1, 1, 1, \Delta_5 \rangle$

for any integer  $t$ . Let

$$\{p \in \mathfrak{P} - \{5\} : a - 20 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\} = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}.$$

If  $t$  is an integer such that  $(b - 10t, p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k) = 1$  and  $t \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$ , then we have

$$\ell_{2,t}(5) = [a - 20, 2(b - 10t), c - 5t^2] = \begin{pmatrix} a - 20 & b - 10t \\ b - 10t & c - 5t^2 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow M_p$$

for any prime  $p$ . If  $k = 0$ , then  $(\ell_{2,-1}(5))_p \longrightarrow M_p$  for any prime  $p$ . Furthermore, if  $a \geq 47$ , then  $\ell_{2,-1}(5)$  is positive definite by Lemma 3.7 and hence  $\ell \longrightarrow L$ . If  $22 \leq a \leq 46$ , then  $\ell_{2,-1}(5)$  is positive definite for any integer  $c$  such that  $c > \frac{5a+b^2+20b}{a-20}$ . In the remaining finite cases, one may directly check that  $\ell \longrightarrow L$ .

If  $1 \leq k \leq 6$ , then there is an integer  $t$  with

$$t \in \left\{ 6m - 1 : -\left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor \leq m \leq \left\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}$$

such that  $(\ell_{2,t}(5))_p \longrightarrow M_p$  for any prime  $p$ . If  $k = 1, 2$ , similarly to the case when  $k = 0$ ,  $\ell_{2,t}(5)$  is positive definite for any integer  $c$  such that  $c > \frac{5t^2 a + b^2 - 20tb}{a-20}$ , and hence  $\ell \longrightarrow L$ . For any integer  $c$  such that  $c \leq \frac{5t^2 a + b^2 - 20tb}{a-20}$ , one may directly check that  $\ell \longrightarrow L$ . Since  $a \geq 20 + p_1 \cdots p_k$ , one may easily check that  $\ell_{2,t}(5)$  is positive definite by Lemma 3.7 for any  $k = 3, 4, 5, 6$ .

Finally, assume that  $k \geq 7$ . Since  $k2^{k-2} > \frac{7+k-1}{7-1}2^k$ , there is an integer

$t \in \{-3k2^{k-2} + 5, \dots, -1, 5, \dots, 3k2^{k-2} - 1\}$  such that  $(b - 10t, p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k) = 1$  by Lemma 3.6. Hence  $(\ell_{2,t}(5))_p \longrightarrow M_p$  for any prime  $p$ . Furthermore, since  $a \geq 20 + 7 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 41^{k-5}$ ,  $\ell_{2,t}(5)$  is positive definite by Lemma 3.7, and therefore  $\ell \longrightarrow L$ .

Now, assume that  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \subseteq 5\mathbb{Z}$ , that is,  $\ell$  is of the form of  $[5a_1, 10b_1, 5c_1]$ . If we let  $\tilde{\ell} = [a_1, 2b_1, c_1]$ , then  $\ell = (\tilde{\ell})^5$ . Since we are assuming that  $\ell_5$  is a primitive  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ -lattice,  $\tilde{\ell}_5 \simeq \langle 1, -\Delta_5 \rangle$ . This is equivalent to  $d(\tilde{\ell}) \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$ . Consider the quaternary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice

$$K = [1, 0, 3] \perp [2, 2, 3].$$

Note that  $K$  has class number one and one may easily check that

$$(K \perp \langle 5 \rangle)^5 \longrightarrow L.$$

If  $\tilde{\ell} \longrightarrow K \perp \langle 5 \rangle$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell})^5 = \ell \longrightarrow L$ . Therefore, it suffices to show that  $\tilde{\ell}$  is represented by  $K \perp \langle 5 \rangle$ .

Consider  $\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5) = [a_1 - 5s^2, 2(b_1 - 5st), c_1 - 5t^2]$ . From the fact that  $5 \nmid d(\tilde{\ell})$ , we have  $5 \nmid d(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5))$ , and  $\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5) \longrightarrow K_5$  for any integers  $s, t$ . By checking the local structures of  $\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5)$ ,  $M$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , we obtain the following properties.

- If  $a_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  or  $c_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ , then for all  $s, t$ ,  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5))_2 \longrightarrow K_2$ .

- If  $a_1 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ ,  $2 \mid s$  or  $c_1 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ ,  $2 \mid t$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5))_2 \longrightarrow K_2$ .
- If  $a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $2 \mid b_1$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5))_2 \longrightarrow K_2$ .
- If  $c_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $2 \mid b_1$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5))_2 \longrightarrow K_2$ .
- If  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \equiv (0, 1, 0) \pmod{2}$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (0, 0) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5))_2 \longrightarrow K_2$ .
- If  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \equiv (1, 1, 0) \pmod{2}$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (1, 0) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5))_2 \longrightarrow K_2$ .
- If  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \equiv (0, 1, 1) \pmod{2}$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (0, 1) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5))_2 \longrightarrow K_2$ .
- If  $3 \mid a_1 c_1$  and  $3 \nmid st$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5))_3 \longrightarrow K_3$ .
- If  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \equiv (1, 0, 2), (2, 0, 1), (2, 0, 2) \pmod{3}$  and  $3 \nmid st$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5))_3 \longrightarrow K_3$ .
- If  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \equiv (1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 1) \pmod{3}$  and  $st \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5))_3 \longrightarrow K_3$ .
- If  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \equiv (2, 1, 1), (2, 1, 2) \pmod{3}$  and  $st \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5))_3 \longrightarrow K_3$ .
- If  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \equiv (1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 2) \pmod{3}$  and  $st \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5))_3 \longrightarrow K_3$ .
- If  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \equiv (2, 2, 1), (2, 2, 2) \pmod{3}$  and  $st \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5))_3 \longrightarrow K_3$ .
- If  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \equiv (1, 0, 1) \pmod{3}$  and  $st \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(5))_3 \longrightarrow K_3$ .

Using the same method to the above, we may show that  $\tilde{\ell} \longrightarrow K \perp \langle 5 \rangle$  except the cases when

$$\tilde{\ell} \simeq [2, 2, 2], [2, 2, 14], \text{ and } [6, 6, 6].$$

Even in the exceptional cases, one may directly check that  $(\tilde{\ell})^5 \longrightarrow L$ . Therefore, we may conclude that any binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $\ell$  whose scale is contained in  $5\mathbb{Z}$  is represented by  $L$ .

Finally, one may easily check that any binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sublattices of each  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice in (3.3) with index 2 or 5 are represented by  $L$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.10.** *The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $A_2 \perp A_1 A_1 20[11\frac{1}{2}]$  is even 2-universal.*

*Proof.* Let  $L = I_2 \perp A_2 \perp \langle 5 \rangle$ . By Theorem 3.9,  $L$  represents all even binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices. Hence its even  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sublattice

$$L(e) = A_2 \perp A_1 A_1 20[11\frac{1}{2}] = [2, 2, 2] \perp \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

also represents all even binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The proof of the almost 2-universality or even 2-universality of each  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L$  given below is quite similar to the above. So, we only provide the following data:

- (1) quaternary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sublattice  $M$  of  $L$  which has class number one,
- (2) the integer  $u$  such that  $M \perp \langle u \rangle$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sublattice of  $L$ ,



- (3) conditions for integers  $s, t$  such that  $(\ell_{s,t}(u))_p \rightarrow M_p$  for each prime  $p \mid 2dM$ ,
- (4) some data for the case when  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \subseteq q\mathbb{Z}$  for a prime  $q \mid u$  and  $\left(\frac{dM}{q}\right) = -1$ .

**Theorem 3.11.** *The quinary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice*

$$L = I_3 \perp A_1 22[1\frac{1}{2}] = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle \perp [2, 2, 6]$$

*represents all binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices except  $[3, 0, 3]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M = \langle 1, 1, 1, 2 \rangle$  and  $u = 22$ . Clearly,  $M \perp \langle 22 \rangle$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sublattice of  $L$ . Let  $\ell = [a, 2b, c]$  be any binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice such that  $\ell_p$  is a primitive  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice for any  $p \in \{2, 11\}$ . Then one may easily check the followings:

- If  $(a, b, c) \equiv (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1) \pmod{2}$ , then for any  $s, t$ ,  $(\ell_{s,t}(22))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $(a, b, c) \equiv (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0) \pmod{2}$ , then for any  $s, t$ ,  $(\ell_{s,t}(22))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $a \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  or  $c \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ , then for any  $s, t$ ,  $(\ell_{s,t}(22))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ ,  $2 \nmid s$  or  $c \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ ,  $2 \nmid t$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(22))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $a \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ ,  $2 \mid s$  or  $c \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ ,  $2 \mid t$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(22))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $a \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ ,  $b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $2 \nmid c$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(22))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $a \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ ,  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ,  $2 \nmid c$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (1, 0) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(22))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $a \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ ,  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(22))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $a \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ ,  $b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (1, 0) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(22))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .

Using this information, one may prove that similarly to Theorem 3.9,  $\ell$  is represented by  $L$  under the assumption that  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \not\subseteq 11\mathbb{Z}$ . When  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \subseteq 11\mathbb{Z}$ , we consider the quaternary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $K = \langle 1, 1, 1, 11 \rangle$ . Note that

$$\text{gen}(K)/\sim = \{\langle 1, 1, 1, 11 \rangle, [1, 0, 1] \perp [3, 2, 4]\}.$$

It can easily be verified that  $(K' \perp \langle 11 \rangle)^{11} \rightarrow L$  for any  $K' \in \text{gen}(K)$ . Let  $\tilde{\ell} = [a_1, 2b_1, c_1]$  be a binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice such that  $(\tilde{\ell})^{11} = \ell$ . Since we are assuming that  $\ell_p$  is primitive over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for any prime  $p \in \{2, 11\}$ ,  $d(\tilde{\ell}) \equiv 1, 3, 4, 5$ , or  $9 \pmod{11}$ , and  $\tilde{\ell}_{11}$  is represented by  $K_{11}$ . If there exist integers  $s, t$  such that  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(11))_p \rightarrow K_p$  for any prime  $p$  and  $\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(11)$  is positive definite, then  $\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(11) \rightarrow K'$  and  $\tilde{\ell} \rightarrow K' \perp \langle 11 \rangle$  for some  $K' \in \text{gen}(K)$ . Hence we have

$$\ell = (\tilde{\ell})^{11} \rightarrow (K' \perp \langle 11 \rangle)^{11} \rightarrow L.$$

To prove the existence of such integers  $s, t$ , one may use

- If  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \equiv (1, 0, 1) \pmod{2}$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(11))_2 \rightarrow K_2$ .
- If  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \equiv (0, 1, 0) \pmod{2}$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (0, 0) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(11))_2 \rightarrow K_2$ .

- If  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \equiv (1, 1, 0) \pmod{2}$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (1, 0) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(11))_2 \longrightarrow K_2$ .
- If  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \equiv (0, 1, 1) \pmod{2}$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (0, 1) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(11))_2 \longrightarrow K_2$ .
- If  $a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  or  $c_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , then for any  $s, t$ ,  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(11))_2 \longrightarrow K_2$ .
- If  $a_1 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ ,  $2 \mid s$  or  $c_1 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ ,  $2 \mid t$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(11))_2 \longrightarrow K_2$ .
- If  $a_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ,  $2 \nmid s$  or  $c_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ,  $2 \nmid t$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(11))_2 \longrightarrow K_2$ .
- If  $a_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $2 \mid b_1$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(11))_2 \longrightarrow K_2$ .
- If  $c_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $2 \mid b_1$  and  $(s, t) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$ , then  $(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}(11))_2 \longrightarrow K_2$ .

Using this information, one may show that  $\tilde{\ell}$  is represented by  $K' \perp \langle 11 \rangle$  for some  $K' \in \text{gen}(K)$  except the binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices  $\tilde{\ell}$  such that

$$\tilde{\ell} \simeq [3, 0, 3], [3, 0, 71], [2, 2, 3], [3, 2, 18], [7, 2, 10], [7, 4, 7], [7, 4, 23], \text{ or } [19, 4, 19].$$

Even in these exceptional cases, one may directly check that  $\ell = (\tilde{\ell})^{11} \longrightarrow L$ . Note that any binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sublattice of  $[3, 0, 3]$  with index 2 or 11 is represented by  $L$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.12.** *The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $A_3 \perp A_1 22[1\frac{1}{2}]$  is even 2-universal.*

*Proof.* Note that if  $L = I_3 \perp A_1 22[1\frac{1}{2}]$ , then  $L(e) \simeq A_3 \perp A_1 22[1\frac{1}{2}]$ . Therefore, the proof follows directly from Theorem 3.11.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.13.** *The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L = I_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2 21[1\frac{1}{3}]$  represents all binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices except  $I_2 = [1, 0, 1]$ .*

*Proof.* In this case, we let

$$M = I_1 \perp A_2 21[1\frac{1}{3}] = \langle 1 \rangle \perp \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } u = 2.$$

Let  $\ell = [a, 2b, c]$  be any binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice such that  $\ell_p$  is primitive over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for any  $p \in \{2, 7\}$ . Then we may easily check the followings:

- If  $(a, b, c) \equiv (0, 1, 0) \pmod{2}$ , then for any integer  $s, t$ ,  $\ell_{s,t} \longrightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $2 \nmid s$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_2 \longrightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $c \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $2 \nmid t$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_2 \longrightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $2 \mid s$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_2 \longrightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $c \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $2 \mid t$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_2 \longrightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $a \equiv 3, 4, 6 \pmod{7}$  and  $s \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{7}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_7 \longrightarrow M_7$ .
- If  $a \equiv 2, 3, 5 \pmod{7}$  and  $s \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{7}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_7 \longrightarrow M_7$ .
- If  $a \equiv 1, 5, 6 \pmod{7}$  and  $s \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{7}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_7 \longrightarrow M_7$ .
- If  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ ,  $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  and  $7 \nmid s$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_7 \longrightarrow M_7$ .
- If  $a, b \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ ,  $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  and  $7 \nmid s$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_7 \longrightarrow M_7$ .
- If  $a, b, c \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ , then there is an integer  $t_0$  such that for any integer  $t$  with  $t \equiv t_0 \pmod{7}$ ,  $(\ell_{1,t}(2))_7 \longrightarrow M_7$ .

In the last paragraph, the existence of  $t_0$  can be proved as follows: Note that for a nonsquare unit  $\Delta_7 \in \mathbb{Z}_7^\times$ ,  $\langle 1, 7 \rangle, \langle \Delta_7, 7\Delta_7 \rangle \longrightarrow M_7$ . Let  $a = 7a_0, b = 7b_0$ , and  $c = 7c_0$ , then

$$d(\ell_{s,t}(2)) \equiv -14(a_0t^2 - 2b_0st + c_0s^2) \pmod{7^2}.$$

Since  $\ell_7$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ -primitive,  $a_0, c_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  and  $d\ell = 7^2(a_0c_0 - b_0^2) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7^3}$ . Hence there is an integer  $t_0$  such that  $a_0t_0^2 - 2b_0t_0 + c_0$  is a nonsquare modulo 7 (see Theorem 8.2 of Chapter 7 in [6]). Therefore,  $(\ell_{1,t_0}(2))_7 \simeq \langle 1, 7 \rangle$  or  $\langle \Delta_7, 7\Delta_7 \rangle$ , which is represented by  $M_7$ . Note that any binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sublattice of  $[1, 0, 1]$  with index 2 or 7 is represented by  $L$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.14.** *The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L = A_1 \perp A_2(4^222)[1\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3}]$  is even 2-universal.*

*Proof.* Note that  $L = K(e)$ , where  $K = I_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_221[1\frac{1}{3}]$ . Hence the corollary follows directly from Theorem 3.13.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.15.** *The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L = A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_230[1\frac{1}{3}]$  is even 2-universal.*

*Proof.* Let  $M = A_1 \perp A_230[1\frac{1}{3}] = \langle 2 \rangle \perp \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $u = 2$ . Note that  $M \perp \langle 2 \rangle \longrightarrow L$ . Let  $\ell = [a, 2b, c]$  be any even binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice such that  $\ell_5$  is a primitive  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ -lattice. Then one may easily check the followings:

- If  $b$  is odd, then for any integers  $s, t$ ,  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_2 \longrightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $a \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{5}$ , then  $(\ell_{1,t}(2))_5 \longrightarrow M_5$ .
- If  $a \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{5}$ , then  $(\ell_{2,t}(2))_5 \longrightarrow M_5$ .
- If  $a \equiv 0, b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , then  $(\ell_{5,t}(2))_5 \longrightarrow M_5$ .
- If  $a, b \equiv 0, c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , then for any  $5 \nmid s$ ,  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_5 \longrightarrow M_5$ .
- If  $a, b, c \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , then there is an integer  $t_0$  such that for any integer  $t$  with  $t \equiv t_0 \pmod{5}$ ,  $(\ell_{1,t}(2))_5 \longrightarrow M_5$ .

Using this information, one may show that  $\ell$  is represented by  $M \perp \langle 2 \rangle$  for any  $\ell$  such that  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \not\subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$ .

It is known that  $K = I_3 \perp A_110[1\frac{1}{2}] = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle \perp [2, 2, 3]$  is 2-universal (see [11]). Since  $K^2 \longrightarrow L$ ,  $L$  represents any binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $\ell$  such that  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.16.** *The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L = A_1 \perp A_2 \perp A_114[1\frac{1}{2}]$  is even 2-universal.*

*Proof.* Let  $M = A_2 \perp A_114[1\frac{1}{2}] = [2, 2, 2] \perp [2, 2, 4]$  and  $u = 2$ . Let  $\ell = [a, 2b, c]$  be any even binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice such that  $\ell_7$  is a primitive  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ -lattice. Then one may easily check the followings:

- If  $b$  is odd, then for any integers  $s, t$ ,  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_2 \longrightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $a \equiv 3, 4, 6 \pmod{7}$  and  $s \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{7}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_7 \longrightarrow M_7$ .
- If  $a \equiv 2, 3, 5 \pmod{7}$  and  $s \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{7}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_7 \longrightarrow M_7$ .
- If  $a \equiv 1, 5, 6 \pmod{7}$  and  $s \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{7}$ , then  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_7 \longrightarrow M_7$ .
- If  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  and  $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ , then  $(\ell_{7,t}(2))_7 \longrightarrow M_7$ .

- If  $a, b \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  and  $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ , then for any  $7 \nmid s$ ,  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_7 \rightarrow M_7$ .
- If  $a, b, c \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ , then there is an integer  $t_0$  such that for any integer  $t$  with  $t \equiv t_0 \pmod{7}$ ,  $(\ell_{1,t}(2))_7 \rightarrow M_7$ .

Using this information, one may show that  $\ell$  is represented by  $M \perp \langle 2 \rangle$  for any  $\ell$  such that  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \not\subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$ .

One may easily check that  $K = \langle 1, 1, 1, 3, 7 \rangle$  is locally 2-universal and  $(K')^2 \rightarrow L$  for any  $K' \in \text{gen}(K)$ . Hence  $L$  represents any binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $\ell$  such that  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.17.** *The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L = A_1 \perp A_3 52[1\frac{1}{4}]$  is even 2-universal.*

*Proof.* Let  $M = A_3 52[1\frac{1}{4}] = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $u = 2$ . Let  $\ell = [a, 2b, c]$  be

any even binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice such that  $\ell_{13}$  is a primitive  $\mathbb{Z}_{13}$ -lattice. Then one may easily check the followings:

- If  $b$  is odd, then for any integers  $s, t$ ,  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_2 \rightarrow M_2$ .
- If  $a \equiv 1, 3, 5, 6, 11, 12 \pmod{13}$ , then  $(\ell_{1,t}(2))_{13} \rightarrow M_{13}$ .
- If  $a \equiv 4, 7, 9 \pmod{13}$ , then  $(\ell_{2,t}(2))_{13} \rightarrow M_{13}$ .
- If  $a \equiv 2, 8 \pmod{13}$ , then  $(\ell_{3,t}(2))_{13} \rightarrow M_{13}$ .
- If  $a \equiv 10 \pmod{13}$ , then  $(\ell_{4,t}(2))_{13} \rightarrow M_{13}$ .
- If  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$  and  $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{13}$ , then  $(\ell_{13,t}(2))_{13} \rightarrow M_{13}$ .
- If  $a, b \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$  and  $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{13}$ , then  $(\ell_{1,t}(2))_{13} \rightarrow M_{13}$ .

Using this information, one may show that  $\ell$  is represented by  $M \perp \langle 2 \rangle$  for any  $\ell$  such that  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \not\subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \not\subseteq 13\mathbb{Z}$ .

Consider the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $K = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle \perp [2, 2, 7]$ . One may easily check that  $K$  is locally 2-universal and  $(K')^2 \rightarrow L$  for any  $K' \in \text{gen}(K)$ . Hence  $L$  represents any binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $\ell$  such that  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Note that if  $J = A_3 52[1\frac{1}{4}] \perp \langle 26 \rangle$ , then  $J$  is locally even 2-universal and  $(J')^{13} \rightarrow L$  for any  $J' \in \text{gen}(J)$ . Hence  $L$  represents any even binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $\ell$  such that  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \subseteq 13\mathbb{Z}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.18.** *The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L = A_1 \perp A_1 A_2 102[11\frac{1}{6}]$  is even 2-universal.*

*Proof.* Let  $M = A_1 A_2 102[11\frac{1}{6}] = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $u = 2$ . Let  $\ell = [a, 2b, c]$  be

any even binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice such that  $\ell_{17}$  is a primitive  $\mathbb{Z}_{17}$ -lattice. Then one may easily check the followings:

- For any integers  $s, t$ ,  $(\ell_{s,t}(2))_2 \rightarrow M_2$  (in fact,  $M_2$  is even 2-universal).
- If  $a \equiv 5, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 16 \pmod{17}$ , then  $(\ell_{1,t}(2))_{17} \rightarrow M_{17}$ .
- If  $a \equiv 1, 2, 3, 11, 15 \pmod{17}$ , then  $(\ell_{2,t}(2))_{17} \rightarrow M_{17}$ .

- If  $a \equiv 4, 6 \pmod{17}$ , then  $(\ell_{3,t}(2))_{17} \rightarrow M_{17}$ .
- If  $a \equiv 10 \pmod{17}$ , then  $(\ell_{4,t}(2))_{17} \rightarrow M_{17}$ .
- If  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{17}$  and  $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{17}$ , then  $(\ell_{17,t}(2))_{17} \rightarrow M_{17}$ .
- If  $a, b \equiv 0 \pmod{17}$  and  $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{17}$ , then  $(\ell_{1,t}(2))_{17} \rightarrow M_{17}$ .

Using this information, one may show that  $\ell$  is represented by  $M \perp \langle 2 \rangle$  for any  $\ell$  such that  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \not\subseteq 17\mathbb{Z}$ . Note that if  $K = A_1 A_2 102[11\frac{1}{6}] \perp \langle 34 \rangle$ , then  $K$  is locally even 2-universal and  $(K')^{17} \rightarrow L$  for any  $K' \in \text{gen}(L)$ . Hence  $L$  represents any even binary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $\ell$  such that  $\mathfrak{s}(\ell) \subseteq 17\mathbb{Z}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

TABLE 3. The number of (candidates of) even 2-universal even  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices of rank 5

$R_L$	Proved		Candidates
	$h = 1$	$h \geq 2$	
$\text{rank}(R_L) = 5$	7	1	0
$A_4, D_4$	2	0	0
$A_1 \perp A_3$	4	5	2
$A_2 \perp A_2$	1	1	0
$A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2$	2	5	2
$A_3$	2	1	2
$A_1 \perp A_2$	2	2	14
Total	<b>20</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>

TABLE 4. Even 2-universal even  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices of rank 5

$A_5, D_5, A_1 \perp A_4, A_4 70[2\frac{1}{5}], A_1 \perp D_4, D_4 12[2\frac{1}{2}],$
$A_2 \perp A_3, A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_3, A_1 \perp A_3 \perp \langle 4 \rangle, A_1 \perp A_3 \perp \langle 6 \rangle^\dagger,$
$A_1 \perp A_3 12[2\frac{1}{2}], A_1 \perp A_3 20[2\frac{1}{2}]^\dagger, A_1 \perp A_3 52[1\frac{1}{4}]^\dagger, A_3 \perp A_1 14[1\frac{1}{2}]^\dagger,$
$A_3 \perp A_1 22[1\frac{1}{2}]^\dagger, A_3(4^0 8)[2\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}], A_3(10^0 12)[1\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4}], A_3(4^0 36)[1\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4}]^\dagger,$
$A_1 A_3 44[11\frac{1}{4}], A_1 A_3 10[12\frac{1}{2}], A_2 A_2 24[11\frac{1}{3}], A_2 \perp A_2 30[1\frac{1}{3}]^\dagger,$
$A_1 \perp A_2 \perp A_2, A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2^\dagger, A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2 \perp \langle 4 \rangle^\dagger,$
$A_1 \perp A_1 \perp A_2 30[1\frac{1}{3}]^\dagger, A_1 \perp A_2 \perp A_1 14[1\frac{1}{2}]^\dagger,$
$A_2 \perp A_1 A_1 12[11\frac{1}{2}], A_2 \perp A_1 A_1 20[11\frac{1}{2}]^\dagger,$
$A_1 \perp A_1 A_2 102[11\frac{1}{6}]^\dagger, A_1 A_1 A_2 84[111\frac{1}{6}], A_1 \perp A_2(4^2 22)[1\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3}]^\dagger,$
$A_1 \perp A_2(10^5 10)[1\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3}]^\dagger, A_1 A_2(16^4 22)[11\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{6}], A_1 A_2(8^0 30)[11\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{6}]$

TABLE 5. Candidates of even 2-universal even  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices of rank 5

$A_1 \perp A_3 84[1\frac{1}{4}]$ , $A_1 A_3 76[11\frac{1}{4}]$ , $A_3(4^2 12)[20\frac{1}{2}]$ , $A_3(12^{-4} 14)[1\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2}]$ ,
$A_1 A_1 A_2 156[111\frac{1}{6}]$ , $A_1 \perp A_1 A_2 174[11\frac{1}{6}]$ ,
$A_1 A_2 102[11\frac{1}{6}] \perp \langle 4 \rangle$ , $A_1 A_2 102[11\frac{1}{6}] \perp \langle 6 \rangle$ , $A_2 \perp A_1(4^0 10)[1\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{6}]$ ,
$A_1 A_2(4^0 66)[11\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{6}]$ , $A_1 A_2(4^{-2} 94)[11\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{6}]$ , $A_1 A_2(6^0 48)[11\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{6}]$ ,
$A_1 A_2(6^0 78)[11\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{6}]$ , $A_1 A_2(6^0 96)[11\frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{6}]$ , $A_1 A_2(8^2 62)[11\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{6}]$ ,
$A_1 A_2(10^4 46)[11\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{6}]$ , $A_1 A_2(14^2 20)[11\frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{3}]$ , $A_1 A_2(14^2 38)[11\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{6}]$ ,
$A_1 A_2(14^{-4} 26)[11\frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{3}]$ , $A_1 A_2(22^{-8} 28)[11\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{6}]$

### References

- [1] M. Bhargava, *On the Conway-Schneeberger fifteen theorem*, in Quadratic forms and their applications (Dublin, 1999), 27–37, Contemp. Math., 272, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000. <https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/272/04395>
- [2] M. Bhargava and J. Hanke, *Universal quadratic forms and the 290-theorem*, Preprint.
- [3] J. W. S. Cassels, *Rational quadratic forms*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, 13, Academic Press, Inc., London, 1978.
- [4] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Low-dimensional lattices. I. Quadratic forms of small determinant*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **418** (1988), no. 1854, 17–41.
- [5] ———, *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*, third edition, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 290, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-6568-7>
- [6] L. K. Hua, *Introduction to Number Theory*, translated from the Chinese by Peter Shiue, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [7] D.-S. Hwang, *On almost 2-universal integral quinary quadratic forms*, Ph. D. Thesis, Seoul National Univ. 1997.
- [8] Y.-S. Ji, M.-H. Kim, and B.-K. Oh, *Binary quadratic forms represented by a sum of nonzero squares*, J. Number Theory **148** (2015), 257–271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2014.09.009>
- [9] Y.-S. Ji, M. J. Kim, and B.-K. Oh, *Diagonal quadratic forms representing all binary diagonal quadratic forms*, Ramanujan J. **45** (2018), no. 1, 21–32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-016-9857-2>
- [10] M.-H. Kim, *Recent developments on universal forms*, in Algebraic and arithmetic theory of quadratic forms, 215–228, Contemp. Math., 344, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/344/06218>
- [11] B. M. Kim, M.-H. Kim, and B.-K. Oh, *2-universal positive definite integral quinary quadratic forms*, in Integral quadratic forms and lattices (Seoul, 1998), 51–62, Contemp. Math., 249, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999. <https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/249/03747>
- [12] M.-H. Kim, J. K. Koo, and B.-K. Oh, *Representations of binary forms by certain quinary positive integral quadratic forms*, J. Number Theory **89** (2001), no. 1, 97–113. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jnth.2000.2631>
- [13] K. Kim and B.-K. Oh, *A sum of squares not divisible by a prime*, submitted.

- [14] Y. Kitaoka, *A note on local densities of quadratic forms*, Nagoya Math. J. **92** (1983), 145–152. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000020626>
- [15] ———, *Arithmetic of quadratic forms*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 106, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511666155>
- [16] B.-K. Oh, *Universal  $\mathbf{Z}$ -lattices of minimal rank*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 3, 683–689. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-99-05254-5>
- [17] O. T. O'Meara, *The integral representations of quadratic forms over local fields*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 843–878. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2372837>
- [18] ———, *Introduction to quadratic forms*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bd. 117, Academic Press, Inc., Publishers, New York, 1963.
- [19] S. Ramanujan, *On the expression of a number in the form  $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + du^2$* , Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **19** (1917), 11–21.
- [20] B. L. van der Waerden, *Die Reduktionstheorie der positiven quadratischen Formen*, Acta Math. **96** (1956), 265–309. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02392364>
- [21] M. F. Willerding, *Determination of all classes of positive quaternary quadratic forms which represent all (positive) integers*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1948), 334–337. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1948-08998-4>

YUN-SEONG JI  
 RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
 SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
 SEOUL 08826, KOREA  
*Email address:* `ys0430@snu.ac.kr`

MYEONG JAE KIM  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
 SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
 SEOUL 08826, KOREA  
*Email address:* `device89@snu.ac.kr`

BYEONG-KWEON OH  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
 SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
 SEOUL 08826, KOREA  
*Email address:* `bkoh@snu.ac.kr`