Associated lesions of Biceps tendon in shoulder pathology
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INTRODUCTION

The long head of biceps tendon (LHBT) is the proverbial stepchild of the shoulder. The history of our understanding of the LHBT is long and full of controversies. First reported case of LHBT dislocation was in 1694 by William Cowper (1). MRI and Arthroscopy had provided valuable information about LHBT. In 1985 Andrews (2) described Superior Labrum lesions and in 1990 Snyder (3) coined the term SLAP lesion. Walch (4) in 1990 described Biceps Pulley and its Lesion. Though described 300 years ago still role of LHBT and its lesions remains controversial.

ANATOMY

It arises most commonly from superior glenoid labrum (45%), supraglenoid tubercle (30%) and from both (25%). Approximately 9 cm long and 5~6 mm in diameter. It is an intraarticular but extrasynovial structure. Superficial surface is vascular and deep sliding surface is avascular. It is innervated by network of sensory sympathetic fibres which may play a role in pathogenesis of shoulder pain (5). Various anatomical variations like congenital absence, synovial mesentery, fusion with rotator cuff, bifid tendon, etc exists.

FUNCTION

It is still a matter of debate. It has no primary function in shoulder but multiple secondary roles like, humeral head depessor, secondary stabilizer of glenohumeral joint, participation in abduction with arm in external rotation, checkrein ligament at extreme range of motion, etc.
CLASSIFICATION

1. Statis and Aalto Classification:

Type A: Impingement tendinitis
Type B: Subluxation of the biceps
Type C: Attrition is primary

2. Habermeyer and Walch Classification:

I: Origin
II: Interval Lesions
   A: Biceps tendinitis
   B: Isolated ruptures
   C: Subluxation:
      Type I: Superior
      Type II: At the groove
      Type III: Mal or Non union of lesser tuberosity
III: Associated with RCT
   A: Tendinitis
   B: Dislocation
   Type IA: Extra-articular with partial subscapularis tear
   Type IB: Extra-articular with intact subscapularis
   Type II: Intra-articular
      C: Subluxation with RCT
      D: LHB rupture with RCT

3. TLC Classification:

Tendon: Stable, Unstable, Tendinitis, Rupture
Location: Origin, Interval, Groove, Musculotendinous junction
Cuff: Intact, Partial tear, Complete tear, Tendinosis

4. New Classification system of LHB Instability taking into account Direction and Extent of Instability, Macroscopic Lesion of LHB and concomitant Cuff tear—Laurent Lafosse (6):

LHB—Direction: Anterior, Posterior, or both
   Extent: None, Subluxation or Dislocation
DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

Typically tendinitis of LHB is seen in association with other lesions. Primary, isolated biceps tendinitis is a diagnosis of exclusion. Generally seen with RCT, Impingement Syndrome, Shoulder Instability, and Glenoid Labral Tears without Instability, Adhesive Capsulitis, Arthritis, and Coracoid Impingement Syndrome.

TREATMENT

1. Conservative

Rest, Physiotherapy, Analgesia, Local Steroid or Anaesthetic injection—results guarded as most of the lesions of LHB are mechanical which requires surgery.

2. Surgical

Acromioplasty, Coracoplasty, Isolated Synovectomy, Biceps Relocation and Reconstruction of pulley, Proximal Reinsertion—Not useful for Isolated LHB lesion.

MAIN SURGICAL TREATMENT IS TENODESIS OR TENOTOMY

1. Biceps Subluxation or Dislocation
2. Tenosynovitis
3. Pre-rupture, Partial rupture
4. Failed SAD with Biceps and Rotator Cuff Tendinitis

Elderly > 65yrs
Female
Low Functional Demand
Large Irreparable / reparable RCT

TENOTOMY

Young
Male
High Athletic Demand
Concerned with Cosmesis
Absence of RC Fatty Infiltration

TENODESIS
Lesion Grade: Normal (0), Minor (I) or Major (II)
Cuff Lesion: Intact (A), Partial Tear (B), Complete Tear (C)

5. Mechanical lesions

Instability (medial or lateral)
Entrapment (hourglass or rupture)
Traumatic lesions or Sports related injuries

CLINICAL FEATURES

Rarely an isolated lesion it is usually associated with other pathologies of shoulder, especially rotator cuff tear and instability. Chronic and rarely acute anterior shoulder pain, radiating down the arm, rest pain, aggravates on overhead activities, mainly atraumatic & rarely traumatic.

O/E: Tenderness in groove. Various tests Yergasons, Speed, Instability test, O’Brien’s, etc but none of this is confirmative. Loss of terminal active and passive ER & FE.

RARELY A CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS

INVESTIGATIONS

1. Plain radiography: limited benefit—calcification, bony deformity, osteophytes, cystic changes in LT. Useful views are AP in external rotation, axillary, Fisk view—for groove
2. Arthrography: first imaging techniques to identify pathology reliably. Now used in conjunction with MRI or CT.
3. Ultrasonography: Non invasive but highly operator dependent. It has 49% sensitivity and 97% specificity(7). It also had positive predictive value of 100% and negative predictive value of 71%. So a normal sonography does not preclude an anatomic biceps tendon lesion.
4. Magnetic resonance imaging: Widely used for RCT but less reliable in diagnosis of biceps tendon pathology, 37% concordance between MRI and Arthroscopy(8).
5. Arthroscopy: best method for definitive diagnosis of intra-articular biceps tendon. Both static and dynamic examination is possible; other causes of anterior shoulder pain can be identified. Disadvantage—invasive procedure under general anaesthesia.
1. Types of Tenodesis

**Arthroscopic and Arthroscopic Assisted Mini Open**

1. Open Subpectoral Bone Tunnel Biceps Tenodesis
2. Arthroscopic Suture Anchor Biceps Tenodesis
3. Open Subpectoral Intereference Screw Technique
4. Arthroscopic Intereference Screw Technique
5. Arthroscopic Percutaneous Intra-articular Transtendon Technique

2. Advantages of Tenodesis

1. Re-establish the resting muscle length
2. Prevent muscle atrophy
3. Avoid cramping pain
4. Maintain elbow flexion and supination strength
5. Avoid cosmetic deformity (Popeye)

3. Advantages of Tenotomy

1. Technically Simple and easy
2. No Hardware or Neurology Problems
3. Less post operative rehabilitation

REFERENCES