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요 약

박판 폐단면을 갖는 프레임과 같은 보가 warping 조건에서 동적 기동 특성이 어떠한가를 시뮬레이션과 시험을 통하여 재시험하였다. 비틀림 모멘트를 반는 보는 단면은 비틀림 끝만 아니라 warping에 의해서 변형을 일으키게 된다. 이런 박판 단면을 갖는 보에서는 warping이 매우 커서 축방향과 전단방향 응력 발생하고 보의 비틀림 giống이 크게 된다. 이 논문에서는 유한요소에서 warping restraint factor가 보의 변형 기동과 동적 모드에 미치는 영향을 살펴본다. 유한 보 요소와 박판 보 요소 모델 사용하여 정적변형과 고유주파수 및 모드해석을 시뮬레이션하며 이 결과를 시험 결과와 비교한다.

Abstract

A dynamic simulation and test of frame with thin walled closed section beams considering warping conditions have been performed. When a beam is subjected under torsional moment, the cross section will deform an warping as well as twist. For some thin-walled sections warping will be large, and accompanying warping restraint will induce axial and shear stresses and reduce the twist of beam which stiffens the beam in torsion. This paper presents that an warping restraint factor in finite element model effects the behavior of beam deformation and dynamic mode shape. The computer modelling of frame is discussed in linear beam element model and linear thin shell element model, also presents a correlation between computer predicted and actual experimental results for static deflection, natural frequencies and mode shapes of frame.
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I. Introduction

A structure welded in sub-frames with closed section beams are mostly used in automotive frames demanding high strength and stiffness. So it is great important to establish the secure frame having high torsional strength as well as bending strength in the viewpoint of safety and cost advantages having thin and light frame materials. Generally in simple beam theory it is assumed that shear center axis and torsional center axis...
are identical. When a beam is subjected to a torsional moment and the cross section is not symmetrical, the cross section will deform out of plane, which is called warping, as well as twist.[1]-[4]. For the modal and stress analysis of frames which closed section cross members, the compatibility of any warping displacements in the cross member and rate of twist in the side member has to be ensured at the joints. Even when warping free sections are used, any non-zero twisting strain of the beam to which they are joined at a node has to be taken account. The additional displacements arising from the deformation of the cross section can be added to the displacements assumed for open sections and lateral bimoment added to internal loads. The load-displacements for torsion in closed sections are more complex in applying to all closed section.

A beam finite element model with different warping restraint factors are discussed comparing test results.[5]. In this paper through use of structural dynamic behaviour comparing thin shell finite element and beam element model, comprehensive and detailed structural description of technique are described. Also presented is a correlation between computer codes predicted results and actual test results for static results, natural frequencies and mode shapes of frame.

II. Dynamic Mode Analysis

2-1 Mode Analysis

We makes use of a mixed mode of solution for the analysis of structural systems. A direct stiffness matrix approach is employed for the solution of the system joint displacements. The system matrix equation can be written as,

\[ F = [(K) - \omega^2 (M)] X \]  

or equivalently

\[ F = [D] X \]  

where, \( F \) : column matrix of external forces applied to the joints of the structure
\( K \) : square static stiffness matrix
\( M \) : diagonal mass matrix
\( \omega \) : harmonic frequency of the excitation force
\( X \) : column matrix of displacements occuring at the joints of the structures
\( D \) : dynamic stiffness matrix

To formulate the dynamic stiffness for each span which is made up of number of span segments and lumped masses, a recurrence matrix or transfer matrix approach is used. The recurrence matrix method is described by the following matrix equation,

\[ Z_{i+1} = [U] Z_i \]  

where, \( Z_{i+1} \), \( Z_i \) : state vectors at stations \( i + 1 \) and \( i \) along the span beams. Stations are designated at the segment ends.

\([U]\) is the transfer matrix which relates the state vector at station \( i + 1 \) to that at station \( i \) as a function of excitation frequency of the structure.

As many times as the number of segments existing for a given span, equation (3) can be recognized to yield a dynamic stiffness matrix for the entire span.

\[ \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} \]  

where, \( F_1, F_2 \) : force vectors for the fore and after end span joints, \( K_{ij}, K_{12}, K_{21}, K_{22} \) : elements of the partitioned stiffness matrix, \( X_1, X_2 \) : displacements vectors for the fore and after end span joints
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When a beam is subjected to a torsional moment and the cross section is not circular, the cross section will deform out of plane, which is called warping \( w \), as well as twist \( \theta \) in Fig. 1. In Saint Venant torsion[1] this warping is free to occur and thus the equation for torsion can be written as,

\[
\theta(x) = \frac{T_x}{GK} \tag{5}
\]

or

\[
\frac{d\theta}{dx} = \frac{T}{GK} \tag{6}
\]

where
- \( \theta \): angle of twist
- \( T \): applied torque
- \( x \): distance along the beam
- \( K \): torsional constant
- \( G \): shear modulus

beams or other structural points. This restraint will induce axial and shear stresses in the beam and reduce the amount of twist of the beam which in effect stiffens the beam in torsion.

When the effects of warping restraint are included, the equation for torsion is

\[
ET' \frac{d^2 \theta}{dx^2} - GK \frac{d\theta}{dx} = - T \tag{7}
\]

where
- \( E \): modulus of elasticity
- \( T' \): warping constant

The general solution of this equations is,

\[
\theta = \frac{T_x}{GK} + A_1 + A_2 \sinh \beta x + A_3 \cosh \beta x \tag{8}
\]

where, \( \beta = \frac{GK}{ET} \) \( \therefore \frac{1}{2(1+\gamma)} \frac{K}{T} \tag{9} \)

Applying these boundary conditions, and assuming that the angle of twist is zero at \( x = 0 \) and that the warping is zero at both end, we get the equation,

\[
\theta(x) = \frac{TL}{G} \left[ x - \frac{1}{\beta} \sinh \beta x + \frac{1}{\beta} \tan \frac{\beta L}{2} (\cos \beta x - 1) \right] \tag{10}
\]

Substituting \( x = L \) into this equation to get the angle of twist at end we get,

\[
\theta(L) = \frac{TL}{G} \left( \frac{\beta L - 2 \tan \frac{\beta L}{2}}{\beta L} \right) \tag{11}
\]

If we try to duplicate this result with equation(5) by replacing the torsional constant \( K \) by an effective torsional constant \( K_e \), we get the following expression for the effective torsional constant,

\[
K_e = K \left( \frac{\beta L - 2 \tan \frac{\beta L}{2}}{\beta L} \right) \tag{12}
\]
Looking at equation (12), we see that when \( \beta L > 100 \), the effective torsional constant is essentially the same as the nominal torsional constant. From this we can say that warping is insignificant when

\[
\Gamma < \frac{Kl^2}{1 \times 10^9}
\]  

(13)

If we work in mm, the warping constant would have to just be greater that the torsional constant. In most real structures, the torsional warping will not be fully restrained since the end of the beam is connected to a flexible beam not a perfectly rigid wall. The type of joint, welded or bolted, will also affect the degree of warping restraint. By defining a warping restraint factor, we can control the amount of torsional stiffening that occurs as the actual torsional constant used will be an interpolated value between nominal and the effective torsional constant, that is,

\[
K'_t = fK_t + (1 - f)K
\]  

(14)

\[
0.0 < f < 1.0
\]  

(15)

The problem occurs when a beam is broken up into several segments for reasons due to intersecting beams, for example, when there are slight bends in the beam. The warping restraint is only at the far ends of the beam, and the restraint at the middle points will be less. Thus it is not valid to model these beam segments with the same warping restraint.

2–3 Modal Test

To determine the dynamic characteristics of frame, frequency response was measured under free-free supporting condition. Each natural frequency represents specific patterns and deformation patterns of frame, which is called mode shape, is determined by adjusting oscillator frequency with natural frequency of frame. This deformed data is read by A-D converter and mode shapes are plotted. The overall test procedure was processed according to general modal test procedure as belows in Fig. 2.
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Fig. 2. The set up for modal test procedure

The body mounting points were chosen as excitation points and impacted in vertical and horizontal directions. The frame were free-free condition and measured at the fifty-one frame points. The modal test measurement System set-up is represented in Fig. 3.
2-4 Analysis Model and Displacement test

For comparing warping restraint effect, automotive frame was modelled using finite element method. In beam element model, cross member and side rail were connected node to node linear beam elements in Fig. 4(a), and cross member and side rail were connected rigid element model in Fig. 4(b). Also entire frame was modelled with linear thin shell element model. The results were compared with test results using UPM-60 displacements equipment.

A static test was performed in which the frame was clamped at all four mounting bracket points, and loads were imposed to cause the frame twist and bend in both the vertical and horizontal planes. By predicting with computer analysis and test result, warping restraint factor(w.r.f.) effect was examined to torsion and bending deformation of frame in Fig. 6. In a short term, it can be said that linear beam element model is more effective than linear thin shell element model to measure the deformation of frame. In Table 1 the result of beam element model with w.r.f. is 0.35 is more closed to the test result than that of beam element model with w.r.f. is 1 in bending test. The close correlation in bending results than in torsion results explains axial stress due to torsion is of a greater magnitude than that due to bending. For further investigation we may compare the correlation with modal test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Condition</th>
<th>Linear Beam Element Model</th>
<th>Linear Thin Shell Element Model</th>
<th>UPM-60 Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Torsion Test</td>
<td>w.r.f=0</td>
<td>w.r.f=0.35</td>
<td>w.r.f=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending Test</td>
<td>w.r.f=0</td>
<td>w.r.f=0.35</td>
<td>w.r.f=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 7 ~ Fig. 15 shows the computer analysis results of dynamic modal analysis. The peak deflections predicted by the analysis model compares within the standard engineering accuracy. A comparison of the analysis predicted to the actual (experimentally obtained) natural frequencies of the frame is given in Fig. 16 and Fig. 17. Fig. 16 and Fig. 17 indicated natural frequencies correlation for modes are well accuracy of engineering standard error. However higher the modes, higher the correlation error. The third torsion bending shows correlation of approximately 21%. A possible explanation for the lesser degree of correlation is found in studying the internal loading of this mode.

It is most easily visualized as the bending of an beam with the frame side-rails acting as the flanges and the cross-member acting as the web. For bending of an beam the web acts as a shear panel in plane loading in transmitting the bending load from one flange to the other. For the frame, this in plane loading is taken axially through the cross-members at six discrete locations rather than across a continuous plate. High localized loading occurs in cross-member to side-rail connection, and for the thin walled cross sections this loading can result in significant local distortion in the members. A beam representation of the member does not account for these added deformation, and model predicts this natural frequency higher than actual found in the test, as seen in Fig. 16. This frame joint deformation, joint flexibilities (or joint stiffness) and joint slippage can significantly affect mode dynamic behaviour of frame mode shape.

A number of structural analysis computer codes are available to model frame elements, and the results are similar tendencies as shown in Fig. 18.
V. Conclusion

In this study a method for efficient and accurate analysis of frame has been established. The warping conditions of beam elements was discussed. The beam elements method analysis was well matched with experimental results in the frame displacement test. In the displacement test results, the linear beam element results were well matched than thin shell element model with experimental result. However it is not valid to model beam segments with the same warping restraint. In a long beam, the warping restraint in the middle segment might actually be zero.

On the contrary natural frequency of the thin shell element model is well matched with the test result. It can be the influence of joint flexibilities of the frame,
so it is more desirable to use thin shell element model in dynamic modal test. In dynamic modal analysis the analysis predicted results well matched with test results. The frame was excited vertically and the resulting vertical motion measured at a point near the front of the frame on the left side-rail. The close correlation obtained over the entire frequency range.

Further study is indicated optimizing mounting engine bracket location with open and closed sectioned beam applied torsional loading using the result of dynamic mode of frame considering warping restraint factor of beam properties. The computer program appears to be promising but results need test results guide.
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