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Abstract. Let $G$ be a chemical graph with vertex set $\left\{v_{1}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and degree sequence $d(G)=\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right), \operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(v_{2}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(v_{n}\right)\right)$. The inverse degree, $R(G)$ of $G$ is defined as $R(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)}$. The cyclomatic number of $G$ is defined as $\gamma=m-n+k$, where $m, n$ and $k$ are the number of edges, vertices and components of $G$, respectively. In this paper, some upper bounds on the diameter of a chemical graph in terms of its inverse degree are given. We also obtain an ordering of connected chemical graphs with respect to the inverse degree.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all graphs are assumed to be undirected, simple and connected. Let $G$ be such a graph. We denote its vertex set and edge set by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. The degree of a vertex $v, \operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)$, is defined as the size of $\{w \in V(G) \mid v w \in E(G)\}$. A vertex of degree one is called a pendant vertex.

The number of vertices of degree $i$ in $G$ is denoted by $n_{i}=n_{i}(G)$. Obviously $\sum_{i \geq 1} n_{i}=|V(G)|$. A chemical graph is a graph with a maximum degree of less than or equal to 4 . This reflects the fact that chemical graphs represent the structure of organic molecules- carbon atoms being 4 -valent and double bonds being counted as single edges.
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The distance $d_{G}(u, v)$ between two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$ is the length of a shortest $u-v$ path in $G$, and the diameter is defined as $\operatorname{diam}(G)=\max \left\{d_{G}(u, v) \mid\right.$ $u, v \in V(G)\}$.

The cyclomatic number of a connected graph $G$ is the minimum number of edges that must be removed from the graph to break all its cycles, making it into a tree or forest. The cyclomatic number $\gamma(G)$ can be expressed as $\gamma(G)=m-n+k$, where $n, m$ and $k$ denote the number of vertices, edges and components of $G$, respectively.

A graph with cyclomatic number $0,1,2,3,4$ or 5 is said to be a tree, unicyclic, bicyclic, tricyclic, tetracyclic or pentacyclic, respectively. Suppose $E^{\prime}$ is a subset of $E(G)$. The subgraph $G-E^{\prime}$ of $G$ is obtained by deleting the edges of $E^{\prime}$. If $u v \notin E(G)$, then the graph $G+u v$ obtained from $G$ by attaching vertices $u, v$.

Suppose $r=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ and $s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ are two non-increasing vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i}, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}$, then we say that $r$ is majorized by $s$, and we write $r \preceq s$. Moreover, $r \prec s$ means that $r \preceq s$ and $r \neq s$, see [10] for details. The non-increasing sequence $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ of nonnegative integers is called a graphic sequence if we can find a simple graph $G$ with the vertex set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ such that $d_{i}=\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(v_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq n$. The inverse degree, $R(G)$ of $G$ was defined as $R(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)}$, under the name zeroth-order Randić index by Kier and Hall in [9]. The inverse degree attracted attention through conjectures of the computer program Graffiti [6]. Since then its relationship with other graph invariants, such as diameter, edge-connectivity, matching number, chromatic number, clique number, Wiener index, $G A_{1}$-index, $A B C$-index and $K f$-index has been studied by several authors (see, for example, $[1,2,4,5,12])$. Some extremal graphs with respect to the inverse degree are given (see, for example, [11]).

In this paper, some upper bounds on the diameter of a chemical graph in terms of its inverse degree are given. We also obtain an ordering of connected chemical graphs with respect to inverse degree.

## 2. Bounds on the Inverse Degree

In this section, some new bounds for inverse degree are presented. We start this section with the following lemma:
Lemma 2.1.([8]) If $G$ is a connected graph with $n$ vertices and cyclomatic number $\gamma$, then $n_{1}(G)=2-2 \gamma+\sum_{i=3}^{\Delta(G)}(i-2) n_{i}$ and $n_{2}(G)=2 \gamma+n-2-\sum_{i=3}^{\Delta(G)}(i-1) n_{i}$.
Proposition 2.2. Let $G$ be a connected graph with $m$ edges.
(1) If $G \cong P_{n}$, then $\gamma(G)=m-\operatorname{diam}(G)-n_{1}+2$.
(2) If $G \not \not P_{n}$, then $\gamma(G) \leq m-\operatorname{diam}(G)-n_{1}+1$.

Proof. It is clear that $\gamma\left(P_{n}\right)=m-\operatorname{diam}(G)-n_{1}+2$. Let $G$ be a graph such that $G \not \neq$ $P_{n}$. Suppose $u, v \in V(G), d_{G}(u, v)=\operatorname{diam}(G)$ and $u w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{\operatorname{diam}(G)-1} v$ is a shortest $u-v$ path in $G$. Let $A=\left\{u w_{1}, w_{1} w_{2}, \ldots, w_{\text {diam }(G)-2} w_{\text {diam }(G)-1}, w_{\text {diam }(G)-1} v\right\}$
and $B=\{u v \mid u v \in E(G)$ and $u v$ is a pendant edge in $G\}$. Then observe that $|A \cap B| \leq 2$ and the subgraph $G-(E \backslash(A \cup B \cup\{e\}))$ is an acyclic graph, where $e \in E \backslash(A \cup B)$. Therefore, $\gamma(G) \leq m-\operatorname{diam}(G)-n_{1}+1$.
Corollary 2.3. Let $G$ be a connected graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges except $P_{n}$. Then $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leq n-n_{1}+1$. Furthermore, if $G$ is a chemical graph, then $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leq 2 n-\gamma(G)-\frac{5}{2} n_{1}+1$.
Proof. By Proposition 2.2, we have $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leq n-n_{1}+1$ since $\gamma(G)=m-n+1$. It is well-known that for a chemical graph $G, m \leq 2 n-\frac{3}{2} n_{1}$. Therefore, by Proposition $2.2, \operatorname{diam}(G) \leq 2 n-\gamma(G)-\frac{5}{2} n_{1}+1$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $G$ be a connected chemical graph with $n$ vertices, $m$ edges and cyclomatic number $\gamma$.
(1) If $G \cong P_{n}$, then $\operatorname{diam}(G)=2 R(G)-n_{1}-1$.
(2) If $G \not \approx P_{n}$, then $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leq 4 R(G)-n_{1}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{diam}\left(P_{n}\right)=2 R(G)-n_{1}-1$. For $G \not \approx P_{n}$, by definition of $R(G)$ and Lemma 2.1,

$$
R(G)=2-2 \gamma+\frac{1}{2} n_{2}+\frac{4}{3} n_{3}+\frac{9}{4} n_{4}
$$

By Proposition 2.2, and the fact that $m=\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{1}+2 n_{2}+3 n_{2}+4 n_{4}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
R(G) & \geq n_{1}-\frac{3}{2} n_{2}-\frac{5}{3} n_{3}-\frac{7}{4} n_{4}+2 \operatorname{diam}(G) \\
& =2 n_{1}-\left(n_{1}+\frac{3}{2} n_{2}+\frac{5}{3} n_{3}+\frac{7}{4} n_{4}\right)+2 \operatorname{diam}(G)  \tag{2.1}\\
& \geq 2 n_{1}-7\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2} n_{2}+\frac{1}{3} n_{3}+\frac{1}{4} n_{4}\right)+2 \operatorname{diam}(G) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leq 4 R(G)-n_{1}$.
Corollary 2.5. Let $G$ be a connected chemical graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. Then $R(G)=\frac{3}{2} n-m+\frac{1}{3} n_{3}+\frac{3}{4} n_{4}$. Besides, if $n_{4}=0$, $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leq 3 R(G)-n_{1}$.
Proof. By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(G) & =\sum_{v \in V(G)} \frac{1}{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)}=n_{1}+\frac{n_{2}}{2}+\frac{n_{3}}{3}+\frac{n_{4}}{4} \\
& =\frac{1}{12}\left(12 n_{1}+6 n_{2}+4 n_{3}+3 n_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by Lemma 2.1. and $\gamma(G)=m-n+1$, we have $R(G)=\frac{3}{2} n-m+\frac{1}{3} n_{3}+\frac{3}{4} n_{4}$. If $n_{4}=0$, then by Eq. (2.1), $R(G) \geq 2 n_{1}-\left(n_{1}+\frac{3}{2} n_{2}+\frac{5}{3} n_{3}\right)+2 \operatorname{diam}(G)$. Therefore, $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leq 3 R(G)-n_{1}$.

Corollary 2.6. Let $G$ be a connected chemical graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. Then $R(G) \geq \frac{3}{2} n-m$, with equality if and only if $G \cong P_{n}$ or $C_{n}$.
Corollary 2.7. Let $G$ be a connected chemical graph with $n$ vertices. Then the following hold:
(1) If $G$ is a tree, then $R(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} n+1$, with equality if and only if $G \cong P_{n}$.
(2) If $G$ is unicyclic, then $R(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} n$, with equality if and only if $G \cong C_{n}$.

For $n \geq 5$ we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{1}=\left\{B \mid B \text { is a bicyclic graph, } n_{3}(B)=2 \text { and } n_{2}(B)=n-2\right\} \\
& \Gamma_{2}=\left\{B \mid B \text { is a bicyclic graph, } n_{4}(B)=1 \text { and } n_{2}(B)=n-1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.8. Let $G$ be a chemical bicyclic graph with $n \geq 5$ vertices. If $B_{1} \in \Gamma_{1}$, $B_{2} \in \Gamma_{2}$ and $G \notin \Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}$, then $R\left(B_{1}\right)<R\left(B_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} n-\frac{1}{4}<R(G)$.
Proof. By Corollary 2.5, if $n_{4}(G) \geq 1$, then $R(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} n-\frac{1}{4}$, with equality if and only if $G \in \Gamma_{2}$. If $n_{4}(G)=0$ and $n_{3}(G)=0$ or $n_{4}(G)=0$ and $n_{3}(G)=1$, then $G$ is not a chemical bicyclic graph. If $n_{4}(G)=0$ and $n_{3}(G)=2$, then $R(G)=\frac{1}{2} n-\frac{1}{3}$; and if $n_{4}(G)=0$ and $n_{3}(G) \geq 3$, then $R(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} n$, proving the corollary.

For $n \geq 5$ we set
$\Lambda_{1}=\left\{G \mid G\right.$ is a tricyclic graph, $n_{3}(G)=4$ and $\left.n_{2}(G)=n-4\right\}$,
$\Lambda_{2}=\left\{G \mid G\right.$ is a tricyclic graph, $n_{4}(G)=1, n_{3}(G)=2$ and $\left.n_{2}(G)=n-3\right\}$.

Corollary 2.9. Let $G$ be a chemical tricyclic graph with $n \geq 5$ vertices. If $G_{1} \in \Lambda_{1}$, $G_{2} \in \Lambda_{2}$ and $G \notin \Lambda_{1} \cup \Lambda_{2}$, then $R\left(G_{1}\right)<R\left(G_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{5}{12}<R(G)$.
Proof. By Corollary 2.5, if $n_{4}(G) \geq 2, R(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} n+\frac{1}{2}$. If $n_{4}(G)=1$ and $n_{3}(G) \leq 1$, or $n_{4}(G)=0$ and $n_{3}(G) \leq 3$, then $G$ is not a chemical tricyclic graph. If $n_{4}(G)=0$ and $n_{3}(G)=4$, then $R(G)=\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{1}{3}$. If $n_{4}(G)=1$ and $n_{3}(G)=2$, then $R(G)=$ $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{5}{12}$. If $n_{4}(G)=0$ and $n_{3}(G) \geq 5$, then $R(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} n+\frac{2}{3}$. If $n_{4}(G)=1$ and $n_{3}(G) \geq 3$, then $R(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} n+\frac{3}{4}$, proving the result.

The proofs of the following two corollaries are similar to that of Corollary 2.8 and Corollary 2.9. So we omit them.

Next we define the following two sets, when $n \geq 6$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{1}=\left\{G \mid G \text { is a tetracyclic graph, } n_{3}(G)=6 \text { and } n_{2}(G)=n-6\right\} \\
& \Theta_{2}=\left\{G \mid G \text { is a tetracyclic graph, } n_{4}(G)=1, n_{3}(G)=4 \text { and } n_{2}(G)=n-5\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.10. Let $G$ be a chemical tetracyclic graph with $n \geq 6$ vertices. If $G_{1} \in \Theta_{1}$ and $G_{2} \in \Theta_{2}$ and $G \notin \Theta_{1} \cup \Theta_{2}$, then $R\left(G_{1}\right)<R\left(G_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{13}{12}<R(G)$.

For $n \geq 8$ we define
$\Upsilon_{1}=\left\{G \mid G\right.$ is a pentacyclic graph, $n_{3}(G)=8$ and $\left.n_{2}(G)=n-8\right\}$,
$\Upsilon_{2}=\left\{G \mid G\right.$ is a pentacyclic graph, $n_{4}(G)=1, n_{3}(G)=6$ and $\left.n_{2}(G)=n-7\right\}$.

Corollary 2.11. Let $G$ be a chemical pentacyclic graph with $n \geq 6$ vertices. If $G_{1} \in \Upsilon_{1}, G_{2} \in \Upsilon_{2}$ and $G \notin \Upsilon_{1} \cup \Upsilon_{2}$, then $R\left(G_{1}\right)<R\left(G_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{7}{4}<R(G)$.

## 3. Ordering Chemical Trees and Unicyclic Graphs with Respect to the Inverse Degree Index

Recall that if $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval and $f: I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real-valued function such that $f^{\prime \prime}(x) \geq 0$ on $I$, then $f$ is convex on $I$. If $f^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$, then $f$ is strictly convex on $I$. A real-valued function $\varphi$ defined on a set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to be Schurconvex on $\Lambda$ if for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1} \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \Lambda$, if $x \preceq y$, then $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)$. In addition, $\varphi$ is said to be strictly Schur-convex on $\Lambda$ if $x \prec y$ implies that $\varphi(x)<\varphi(y)$.
Lemma 3.1.([10]) Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and let $\varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(x_{i}\right)$, where $g: I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $g$ is strictly convex on $I$, then $\varphi$ is strictly Schur-convex on $I^{n}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ be two connected graphs with the degree sequences $d(G)=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ and $d\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\left(d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{n}^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. If $d(G) \preceq d\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, then $R(G) \leq R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, with equality if and only if $d(G)=d\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Let $\alpha:(0, \infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued function such that $\alpha(x)=\frac{1}{x}$ for all $x \in(0, \infty)$. Then observe that for $x>0, \alpha^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{2}{x^{3}}>0$. Therefore, $\alpha$ is strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$. So by Lemma 3.1, inverse degree, $R$, is strictly Schur-convex. Thus $R(G) \leq R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, with equality if and only if $d(G)=d\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Lemma 3.3. (See [7]) Suppose that $G_{1}$ is a graph with given vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, such that $\operatorname{deg}_{G_{1}}\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 2$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{G_{1}}\left(v_{2}\right)=1$. In addition, assume that $G_{2}$ is another graph and $w$ is a vertex in $G_{2}$. Let $G$ be the graph obtained from $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ by attaching vertices $w$ and $v_{1}$. If $G^{\prime}=G-w v_{1}+w v_{2}$, then $d\left(G^{\prime}\right) \prec d(G)$.

Theorem 3.4. Among all graphs with $n$ vertices and cyclomtatic number $\gamma(1 \leq$ $\gamma \leq n-2)$, a graph $G_{\gamma}^{1}$ with the degree sequence

$$
d\left(G_{\gamma}^{1}\right)=(n-1, \gamma+1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\gamma}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{n-\gamma-2})
$$

has the maximal inverse degree and a graph $G_{\gamma}^{2}$ with the degree sequence

$$
d\left(G_{\gamma}^{2}\right)=(\underbrace{x+1, \ldots, x+1}_{y}, \underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{n-y}),
$$

where $x=\left\lfloor\frac{2 n+2 \gamma-2}{n}\right\rfloor$ and $y \equiv 2 n+2 \gamma-2(\bmod n)$, has the minimal inverse degree.
Proof. Let $G$ be an arbitrary simple connected graph with $n$ vertices and with cyclomtatic number $\gamma(1 \leq \gamma \leq n-2)$ which is different from $G_{\gamma}^{1}$ and $G_{\gamma}^{2}$. Dimitrov and Ali in [3] showed that $d\left(G_{\gamma}^{2}\right) \prec d(G) \prec d\left(G_{\gamma}^{1}\right)$. Now, the result follows from Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.5. Let $T_{i} \in A_{i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq 31$ (See Table 1). If $n \geq 22$ and $T$ is a tree such that $T \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{31} A_{i}$, then $R\left(T_{i}\right)<R\left(T_{i+1}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, 29\} \backslash\{25\}$, $R\left(T_{25}\right)=R\left(T_{26}\right), R\left(T_{30}\right)=R\left(T_{31}\right)$ and $R\left(T_{31}\right)<R(T)$.
Proof. By data given in the Table 1, and simple calculations one can see that, $R\left(T_{i}\right)<R\left(T_{i+1}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, 29\} \backslash\{25\}, R\left(T_{25}\right)=R\left(T_{26}\right), R\left(T_{30}\right)=R\left(T_{31}\right)$ and $R\left(T_{31}\right)<R(T)$ for $T \in \cup_{i=32}^{36} A_{i}$. If $n_{1}(T)>12$, then by the repeated application of Lemma 3.3 on the vertices of degree 1 , we arrive at a tree $T_{l}$, in which $R\left(T_{l}\right)<R(T)$ and $n_{1}\left(T_{l}\right)=12$. Now, by Lemma 2.1 and simple calculations one can see that, $T$ is a chemical tree of order $n$ with $2 \leq n_{1}(T) \leq 12$ if and only if $T$ is given in Table 1. Therefore, by Table $1, R\left(T_{31}\right) \leq R\left(T_{l}\right)<R(T)$ and this completes the proof.

Theorem 3.6. Let $U_{i} \in B_{i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq 41$ and $U_{42} \in B_{43}$ (See Table 2). If $n \geq 24$ and $U$ is a chemical unicyclic graph such that $U \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{41} B_{i} \cup B_{43}$, then for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, 40\} \backslash\{25,30,36\}, R\left(U_{i}\right)<R\left(U_{i+1}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
R\left(U_{25}\right)=R\left(U_{26}\right), R\left(U_{30}\right)=R\left(U_{31}\right), R\left(U_{35}\right)=R\left(U_{37}\right), \\
R\left(U_{36}\right)=R\left(U_{38}\right), R\left(U_{41}\right)=R\left(U_{42}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and $R\left(U_{42}\right)<R(U)$.
Proof. By Table 2, we can see that, for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, 40\} \backslash\{25,30,36\}, R\left(U_{i}\right)<$ $R\left(U_{i+1}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
R\left(U_{25}\right)=R\left(U_{26}\right), R\left(U_{30}\right)=R\left(U_{31}\right), R\left(U_{35}\right)=R\left(U_{37}\right), \\
R\left(U_{36}\right)=R\left(U_{38}\right), R\left(U_{41}\right)=R\left(U_{42}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and $R\left(U_{42}\right)<R(U)$ for $U \in \bigcup_{i=43}^{49} B_{i}$.
If $n_{1}(U)>12$, then by the repeated application of Lemma 3.3 on the vertices of degree 1 we arrive at a unicyclic graph $U_{l}$, in which $R\left(U_{l}\right)<R(U)$ and $n_{1}\left(U_{l}\right)=12$. Now, by Lemma 2.1 and simple calculations one can see that, $U$ is a chemical unicyclic graph of order $n$ with $2 \leq n_{1}(U) \leq 12$ if and only if $U$ is given in Table 2 . Therefore, by Table 2, $R\left(U_{42}\right) \leq R\left(U_{l}\right)<R(U)$ and this completes the proof.

Table 1: Degree distributions of the chemical trees with $n_{1} \leq 12$.

| E.C. | $n_{4}$ | $n_{3}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{1}$ | $R$ | E.C. | $n_{4}$ | $n_{3}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{1}$ | $R$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $A_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | $n-2$ | 2 | $\frac{1}{2} n+1$ | $A_{19}$ | 2 | 3 | $n-14$ | 9 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{7}{2}$ |
| $A_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | $n-4$ | 3 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{4}{3}$ | $A_{20}$ | 3 | 1 | $n-13$ | 9 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{43}{12}$ |
| $A_{3}$ | 0 | 2 | $n-6$ | 4 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{5}{3}$ | $A_{21}$ | 0 | 8 | $n-18$ | 10 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{11}{3}$ |
| $A_{4}$ | 1 | 0 | $n-5$ | 4 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{7}{4}$ | $A_{22}$ | 1 | 6 | $n-17$ | 10 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{15}{4}$ |
| $A_{5}$ | 0 | 3 | $n-8$ | 5 | $\frac{1}{2} n+2$ | $A_{23}$ | 2 | 4 | $n-16$ | 10 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{23}{6}$ |
| $A_{6}$ | 1 | 1 | $n-7$ | 5 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{25}{12}$ | $A_{24}$ | 3 | 2 | $n-15$ | 10 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{47}{12}$ |
| $A_{7}$ | 0 | 4 | $n-10$ | 6 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{7}{3}$ | $A_{25}$ | 4 | 0 | $n-14$ | 10 | $\frac{1}{2} n+4$ |
| $A_{8}$ | 1 | 2 | $n-9$ | 6 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{29}{12}$ | $A_{26}$ | 0 | 9 | $n-20$ | 11 | $\frac{1}{2} n+4$ |
| $A_{9}$ | 2 | 0 | $n-8$ | 6 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{5}{2}$ | $A_{27}$ | 1 | 7 | $n-19$ | 11 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{49}{12}$ |
| $A_{10}$ | 0 | 5 | $n-12$ | 7 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{8}{3}$ | $A_{28}$ | 2 | 5 | $n-18$ | 11 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{25}{6}$ |
| $A_{11}$ | 1 | 3 | $n-11$ | 7 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{11}{4}$ | $A_{29}$ | 3 | 3 | $n-17$ | 11 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{17}{4}$ |
| $A_{12}$ | 2 | 1 | $n-10$ | 7 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{17}{6}$ | $A_{30}$ | 4 | 1 | $n-16$ | 11 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{13}{3}$ |
| $A_{13}$ | 0 | 6 | $n-14$ | 8 | $\frac{1}{2} n+3$ | $A_{31}$ | 0 | 10 | $n-22$ | 12 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{13}{3}$ |
| $A_{14}$ | 1 | 4 | $n-13$ | 8 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{37}{12}$ | $A_{32}$ | 1 | 8 | $n-21$ | 12 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{53}{12}$ |
| $A_{15}$ | 2 | 2 | $n-12$ | 8 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{19}{6}$ | $A_{33}$ | 2 | 6 | $n-20$ | 12 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{9}{2}$ |
| $A_{16}$ | 3 | 0 | $n-11$ | 8 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{13}{4}$ | $A_{34}$ | 3 | 4 | $n-19$ | 12 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{55}{12}$ |
| $A_{17}$ | 0 | 7 | $n-16$ | 9 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{10}{3}$ | $A_{35}$ | 4 | 2 | $n-18$ | 12 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{14}{3}$ |
| $A_{18}$ | 1 | 5 | $n-15$ | 9 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{41}{12}$ | $A_{36}$ | 5 | 0 | $n-17$ | 12 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{19}{4}$ |

Abbreviation: E.C. $=$ Equivalence Classes.

Table 2: Degree distributions of the connected chemical unicyclic graphs with $0 \leq n_{1} \leq 12$.

| E.C. | $n_{4}$ | $n_{3}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{1}$ | $R$ | E.C. | $n_{4}$ | $n_{3}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{1}$ | $R$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $B_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | $n$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2} n$ | $B_{26}$ | 0 | 9 | $n-18$ | 9 | $\frac{1}{2} n+3$ |
| $B_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | $n-2$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{1}{3}$ | $B_{27}$ | 1 | 7 | $n-17$ | 9 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{37}{12}$ |
| $B_{3}$ | 0 | 2 | $n-4$ | 2 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{2}{3}$ | $B_{28}$ | 2 | 5 | $n-16$ | 9 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{19}{6}$ |
| $B_{4}$ | 1 | 0 | $n-3$ | 2 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{3}{4}$ | $B_{29}$ | 3 | 3 | $n-15$ | 9 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{13}{4}$ |
| $B_{5}$ | 0 | 3 | $n-6$ | 3 | $\frac{1}{2} n+1$ | $B_{30}$ | 4 | 1 | $n-14$ | 9 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{10}{3}$ |
| $B_{6}$ | 1 | 1 | $n-5$ | 3 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{13}{12}$ | $B_{31}$ | 0 | 10 | $n-20$ | 10 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{10}{3}$ |
| $B_{7}$ | 0 | 4 | $n-8$ | 4 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{4}{3}$ | $B_{32}$ | 1 | 8 | $n-19$ | 10 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{41}{12}$ |
| $B_{8}$ | 1 | 2 | $n-7$ | 4 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{17}{12}$ | $B_{33}$ | 2 | 6 | $n-18$ | 10 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{7}{2}$ |
| $B_{9}$ | 2 | 0 | $n-6$ | 4 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{3}{2}$ | $B_{34}$ | 3 | 4 | $n-17$ | 10 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{43}{12}$ |
| $B_{10}$ | 0 | 5 | $n-10$ | 5 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{5}{3}$ | $B_{35}$ | 4 | 2 | $n-16$ | 10 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{11}{3}$ |
| $B_{11}$ | 1 | 3 | $n-9$ | 5 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{7}{4}$ | $B_{36}$ | 5 | 0 | $n-15$ | 10 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{15}{4}$ |
| $B_{12}$ | 2 | 1 | $n-8$ | 5 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{11}{6}$ | $B_{37}$ | 0 | 11 | $n-22$ | 11 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{11}{3}$ |
| $B_{13}$ | 0 | 6 | $n-12$ | 6 | $\frac{1}{2} n+2$ | $B_{38}$ | 1 | 9 | $n-21$ | 11 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{15}{4}$ |
| $B_{14}$ | 1 | 4 | $n-11$ | 6 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{25}{12}$ | $B_{39}$ | 2 | 7 | $n-20$ | 11 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{23}{6}$ |
| $B_{15}$ | 2 | 2 | $n-10$ | 6 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{13}{6}$ | $B_{40}$ | 3 | 5 | $n-19$ | 11 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{47}{12}$ |
| $B_{16}$ | 3 | 0 | $n-9$ | 6 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{9}{4}$ | $B_{41}$ | 4 | 3 | $n-18$ | 11 | $\frac{1}{2} n+4$ |
| $B_{17}$ | 0 | 7 | $n-14$ | 7 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{7}{3}$ | $B_{42}$ | 5 | 1 | $n-17$ | 11 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{49}{12}$ |
| $B_{18}$ | 1 | 5 | $n-13$ | 7 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{29}{12}$ | $B_{43}$ | 0 | 12 | $n-24$ | 12 | $\frac{1}{2} n+4$ |
| $B_{19}$ | 2 | 3 | $n-12$ | 7 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{5}{2}$ | $B_{44}$ | 1 | 10 | $n-23$ | 12 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{49}{12}$ |
| $B_{20}$ | 3 | 1 | $n-11$ | 7 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{31}{12}$ | $B_{45}$ | 2 | 8 | $n-22$ | 12 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{25}{6}$ |
| $B_{21}$ | 0 | 8 | $n-16$ | 8 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{8}{3}$ | $B_{46}$ | 3 | 6 | $n-21$ | 12 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{17}{4}$ |
| $B_{22}$ | 1 | 6 | $n-15$ | 8 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{11}{4}$ | $B_{47}$ | 4 | 4 | $n-20$ | 12 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{13}{3}$ |
| $B_{23}$ | 2 | 4 | $n-14$ | 8 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{17}{6}$ | $B_{48}$ | 6 | 0 | $n-18$ | 12 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{9}{2}$ |
| $B_{24}$ | 3 | 2 | $n-13$ | 8 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{35}{12}$ | $B_{49}$ | 5 | 2 | $n-19$ | 12 | $\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{53}{12}$ |
| $B_{25}$ | 4 | 0 | $n-12$ | 8 | $\frac{1}{2} n+3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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